

כתב־עת לענייני תורה ומדע

E=mc²

Г..А

G



הוצאת אוניברסיטת בר־אילן



כתב־עת לענייני תורה ומדע

חוב׳ 28 - כסלו תשע״ד

עורד עלי מרצבד



הוצאת אוניברסיטת בר־אילן, רמת־גן

עור**ן:** עלי מרצבך, המחלקה למתמטיקה, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן

עורכי משנה: דניאל שפרבר, המחלקה לתלמוד, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן יהודה פרידלנדר, המחלקה לספרות עם־ישראל, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן

עורך קודם (גליונות 16-1): יחיאל דומב ז״ל

מערכת:

ישראל אומן	המרכז הבין־תחומי לחקר הרציונליות, האוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים
אהרן אנקר	הפקולטה למשפטים, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן
יוסף בודנהיימר	בית־ספר גבוה לטכנולוגיה (מכון לב), ירושלים
דניאל הרשקוביץ	הפקולטה למתמטיקה, הטכניון, חיפה
ארי זיבוטפסקי	המרכז הרב תחומי לחקר המוח על שם לסלי וסוזן גונדה (גולדשמיט),
	אוניברסיטת בר־אילן
יהושע ליברמן	בית־הספר למינהל עסקים, המכללה האקדמית נתניה
דוד לייזר	המחלקה לפסיכולוגיה, אוניברסיטת בן־גוריון בנגב
שוברט ספירו	היחידה ללימודי יסוד, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן
שמואל ספרן	המחלקה לחומרים ופני שטח, מכון ויצמן, רחובות
זהר עמר	המחלקה ללימודי ארץ־ישראל, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן
הלל פורסטנברג	המחלקה למתמטיקה, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן
דרור פיקסלר	הפקולטה להנדסה, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן
אריה פרימר	המחלקה לכימיה, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן
משה קופל	המחלקה למדעי המחשב, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן
אלכסנדר קליין	היחידה לסטטיסטיקה, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן
מנחם קלנר	המחלקה למדעי היהדות, אוניברסיטת חיפה
שבתי אברהם	
הכהן רפפורט	המכון הגבוה לתורה, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן
מאיר שוורץ	אגודת אנשי מדע שומרי תורה
יעקב שכטר	מכון הרב יוסף סולובייצייק, בוסטון
שמואל שפרכר	המחלקה לכימיה, אוניברסיטת בר־אילן

ISSN 0793-3894 © כל הזכויות שמורות לאוניברסיטת בר־אילן, רמת־גן

אין להעתיק חוברת זו או קטעים ממנה בשום צורה ובשום אמצעי אלקטרוני, מגנטי או מכאני (לרבות צילום, מיזעור והקלטה) ללא אישור בכתב מהמו״ל

> נדפס בישראל תשע״ד דפוס לביא, ת״א

תוכן העניינים

- 5 **שלמה זאב פיק:** על פרופי שאול ליברמן והמכון הגבוה לתורה שעל יד אוניברסיטת בר-אילן פרק מהשקפתו
 - 15 אראל סגל-הלוי: אלגוריתם היובל תהליך הדרגתי לחלוקת נחלות בימינו
- 45 **אורי צור ויהודה אשכנזי:** מגילה עפה מידת העולם לפי תפיסת רי אליעזר הקליר
 - 57 אברהם קרסנטי: מקור האסתטיקה וסדרת פיבונאציי באגדות התלמוד
 - 75 זהר עמר: יין חי ויין מזוג: על יינות שלהם ועל יינות שלנו
 - 97 מרדכי א׳ כסלו: לזיהוי עצי השיטים של המשכן וכליו
 - 113 **ערן רביב:** המבנה המתמטי של לוח ארבעה שערים וחישובי שכיחויות בלוח העברי
 - 129 **גבריאל יצחק רוונה:** דור הפלגה ובעיית עמימותה של השפה
- 137 **יהודה סטופניקר וחגי זילברברג:** תורה וטריז: עיון בחשיבת חז״ל בראי שיטת ״טריז״

פרפראות

161 **אלחנן י' מאיר:** סטטיסטיקה ודקדוק כמסייעים בהבנת התנ״ך: על השורש ק.ט.ר.

ביקורת ספרים

163 **ישראל רוזנסון:** על הספר ״צמחי המקרא״, מאת זהר עמר

תגובות

- 169 **חיים אפפלדורפר:** הערה למאמרו של אריאל כהן, ״כיצד בחר הרמב״ם את נקודת ׳העיקר׳ ביאורים לחישובים האסטרונומיים בהלכות קידוש החודש״
 - 170 אריאל כהן: תגובה להערתו של חיים אפפלדורפר
 - 171 תקצירים בעברית

חלק אנגלי

- 5 **יוסף יצחק איידלר:** התקופה הגדולה של 689472 שנה בלוח העברי ושימושה בבעיות של שכיחות והסתברות
 - 45 תקצירים באנגלית

רשימת המשתתפים

141/3 chaussé de Charleroi, 1060 Brussels, Belgium (מהנדס אזרחי), יוסף יצחק איידלר, (מהנדס אזרחי) חיים אפפלדורפר, מחלקת סטנדרטים פיסיקליים, מעבדה לאומית לפיסיקה יהודה אשכנזי, המחלקה למדעי המחשב ומתמטיקה, אוניברסיטת אריאל בשומרון חגי זילברברג, דקנט הסטודנטים, אוניברסיטת אריאל בשומרון אריאל כהן, המכון למדעי כדור הארץ, האוניברסיטה העברית, ירושלים מרדכי אי כסלו, הפקולטה למדעי החיים, אוניברסיטת בר-אילן אלחנן יי מאיר, ישיבת עתניאל אראל סגל-הלוי, המחלקה למדעי המחשב, אוניברסיטת בר-אילן יהודה סטופניקר, מחלקת תעשייה וניהול, אוניברסיטת אריאל בשומרון זהר עמר, המחלקה ללימודי ארץ ישראל וארכיאולוגיה, אוניברסיטת בר-אילן שלמה זאב פיק, מכון הגבוה לתורה עיש לודביג ואריקה יסלזון (עייר), אוניברסיטת בר-אילן אורי צור, המחלקה למורשת ישראל, אוניברסיטת אריאל בשומרון אברהם קרסנטי, הפקולטה להנדסה, בית הספר הגבוה לטכנולוגיה, ירושלים ערן רביב, המחלקה למתמטיקה, אוניברסיטת בר-אילן גבריאל יצחק רוונה, הספרייה המרכזית ע״ש זלמן ארן, אוניברסיטת בן-גוריון בנגב, באר שבע ישראל רוזנסון, מכללת אפרתה, ירושלים

תקצירים בעברית

התקופה הגדולה של 689472 שנה בלוח העברי ושימושה בבעיות של שכיחות והסתברות

יוסף יצחק איידלר

הנושא המרכזי של המאמר הזה הוא בדיקתה של התקופה הגדולה של הלוח העברי. אחרי תקופה של 36288 מחזורים של 19 שנה כלומר אחרי 689472 שנה, המולד הראשי של בהר״ד חוזר שנית להיות מולדה של שנה ראשונה של מחזור של 19 שנה. זה יתרחש ביום ב׳, א׳ תשרי שנת 689473 המתאים ליום ב׳, ד׳ נובמבר שנת 685720 של הלוח הגריגוריאני. בסוף התקופה הגדולה או הסיבוב הגדול חוזר מולד בהר״ד לראש המחזור של 19 שנה וממילא מתחילה תקופה הגדולה או הסיבוב הגדול חוזר מולד בהר״ד לראש המחזור של 19 שנה וממילא מתחילה תקופה חדשה של 36288 מחזורים בני 19 שנה הדומה בסדר המולדות שלה וממילא גם בסדר קביעות שנותיה דמיון גמור לתקופה הקודמת. הלוח העברי הוא לפיכך מחזורי, אבל המחזור הוא בן שנותיה דמיון גמור לתקופה הקודמת. הלוח העברי הוא רק חלק קטן של המחזור הזה. בפרק הראשון אנחנו מתארים שיטה קלה לחישובו של הלוח העברי ולחילופו של תאריך עברי לתאריך אזרחי (יוליאני או גריגוריאני) תוך שימוש במושג היום היוליאני. בפרק השני אנחנו בודקים את התקופה של הלוח העברי. אנחנו מראים שיש קשר הדדי בין סדר השנה במחזור של 19 שנה לבין הספרה האחרונה, כלומר הספרה הימנית של מולדה של האמר הזו.

אחר כך אנחנו מראים שאם בודקים את המולד של השנים השונות, ההסתברות של הופעת המספרים 0, 1, 2 עד 9 בספרה האחרונה, הימנית של המולדות של השנים השונות, איננה שווה. ההסתברות של הופעת המספרים 3 או 8 בספרה האחרונה היא 7.89% בעוד שההסתברות של הופעת המספרים 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 או 9 בספרה האחרונה היא 10.53% בניגוד לממצא זה, למולדות השונים יש הסתברות שווה וממילא יש יחס בין שכיחות הניצול בדחייה מסוימת או בין שכיחות קביעה מסוימת לבין רוחב תחומם של המולדות המקבילים. העיקרון הזה הוא מקורב ובלתי מדויק לגמרי, אולם הקירוב משביע רצון עד כדי כך שאפילו המומחים קבלו את העיקרון הזה בלי הרהור.

אנחנו מראים, שהחישוב המדויק של שכיחות הניצול בדחייה מסוימת או שכיחות של קביעה מסוימת, דורש חישוב מפורט במשך כל התקופה הגדולה של הלוח העברי. בפרק החמישי אנחנו מסבירים איך אפשר לחשב בדרך קלה מתי מתרחש בפעם הראשונה מולד כלשהו. אנחנו מראים שדרך החישוב הזאת היתה כבר ידועה לתוכן רבי רפאל הלוי מהאנובר במאה ה-18. בפרק הששי אנחנו מסבירים איך אפשר לחשב את ארבע או שלוש ההזדמנויות מתי מולד כלשהו יהפך למולדה של שנה.

בד״ד 28, כסלו תשע״ד



Bekhol Derakhekha Daehu

Journal of Torah and Scholarship

No. 28 - December 2013

Editor Ely Merzbach



BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY PRESS, RAMAT GAN

Editor:	Ely Merzbach, Mathematics Dept., Bar-Ilan University
Sub-Editors:	Yehuda Friedlander, Dept. of Literature of the Jewish People, Bar-Ilan University Daniel Sperber, Talmud Dept., Bar-Ilan University
Founding Editor:	Cyril Domb, z"l
Editorial Board:	
Zohar Amar	Dept. of Land of Israel Studies, Bar-Ilan University
Yisrael Aumann	The Center for the Study of Rationality, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem
Joseph S. Bodenheimer	Jerusalem College of Technology (Machon Lev)
Aharon Enker	Faculty of Law, Bar-Ilan University
Dror Fixler	Faculty of Engineering, Bar-Ilan University
Aryeh Frimer	Chemistry Dept., Bar-Ilan University
Hillel Furstenberg	Mathematics Dept., Bar-Ilan University
Daniel Hershkowitz	Mathematics Dept., Technion, Haifa
Menachem Kellner	Dept. of Jewish History and Thought, Haifa University
Alexander Klein	Statistics Unit, Bar-Ilan University
Moshe Koppel	Computer Science Dept., Bar-Ilan University
David Leiser	Dept. of Behavioral Sciences, Ben Gurion University of the Negev
Yehoshua Liebermann	School of Business Administration, Netanya Academic College
Shabtai Avraham	
Hacohen Rapaport	Institute for Advanced Torah Studies, Bar-Ilan University
Samuel Safran	Dept. of Materials and Interfaces, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot
Jacob Schacter	Rabbi Joseph Soloveitchik Institute, Boston
Meir Schwartz	Israel Association of Orthodox Jewish Scientists
Shubert Spero	Basic Jewish Studies Dept., Bar-Ilan University
Milon Sprecher	Chemistry Dept., Bar-Ilan University
Ari Z. Zivotofsky	The Leslie and Susan Gonda (Goldschmied) Multidisciplinary Brain
	Research Center, Bar-Ilan University

ISSN 0793-3894

 $^{\odot}$

Copyright Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan

All rights reserved, including those of translation.

No part of this journal may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in Israel, 2013 Lavi Ltd., Tel Aviv

CONTENTS

- 5 J. Jean Ajdler: The Period of 689,472 Years in the Jewish Calendar and Its Applications in Frequency and Probability Problems
- 45 English Abstracts

Hebrew Section

- 5 **Shlomo H. Pick:** Prof. Saul Lieberman and the Institute for Advanced Torah Studies at Bar-Ilan University: A Chapter Illuminating His *Weltanschauung*
- 15 **Erel Segal-haLevi:** Algorithm for Land Division and the Jubilee in Modern Times
- 45 Uri Zur and Yehuda Ashkenazi: *Megillah Afah*: The Measurement of the World According to R. Eliezer Hakalir's Perception
- 57 Avraham Karsenty: The Source of Aesthetics and Fibonacci Numbers in Talmud's Tales
- 75 Zohar Amar: Undiluted and Diluted Wine: Their Wine and Our Wine
- 97 Mordechai E. Kislev: Identifying the Acacia Trees of the Tabernacle
- 113 **Eran Raviv:** The Mathematical Structure of the "4-Gate Table" and the Prevalence Calculation in the Hebrew Calendar
- 129 **Gaby Ravenna:** The Generation of Secession and the Problem of Linguistic Ambiguity
- 137 **Yehuda Stupniker and Haggai Zilberberg:** Torah and TRIZ: Analysis of Ideas of Jewish Sages Using the TRIZ Approach

Tidbits

161 **Elchanan I. Meir:** Statistics and Grammar as Contributions in Understanding Bible: The Root K.T.R.

Book Review

163 **Israel Rozenson:** Review of *Flora of the Bible* by Zohar Amar (Hebrew)

Comments

- 169 Haim Apfeldorfer: A Comment on Ariel Cohen's "How Maimonides Chose his Epoch – Clarifications Regarding the Astronomical Calculations in his Sanctification of the New Moon" (*B.D.D.* 26, pp. 69-80)
- 170 Ariel Cohen: A Response to Haim Apfeldorfer's Comment
- 171 Hebrew Abstracts

List of Contributors

- J. Jean Ajdler (civil engineer), 141/3 chaussé de Charleroi, 1060 Brussels, Belgium
- Z o h a r A m a r, Department of Land of Israel Studies and Archaeology, Bar-Ilan University
- Haim Apfeldorfer, Department of Physical Standards, The National Physical Laboratory of Israel
- Ye h u d a A s h k e n a z i , Department of Computer Sciences and Mathematics, Ariel University
- Ariel Cohen, Institute of Earth Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem
- Avraham Karsenty, Faculty of Engineering, Jerusalem College of Technology

Mordechai E. Kislev, Faculty of Life Sciences, Bar-Ilan University

- Elhanan I. Meir, Otniel Yeshiva
- Shlomo H. Pick, The Ludwig and Erica Jesselson Institute for Advanced Torah Studies (RA), Bar-Ilan University
- G a b y R a v e n n a, Aran Library, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
- Eran Raviv, Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University

Israel Rozenson, Efrata College, Jerusalem

Erel Segal-haLevi, Computer Science Department, Bar-Ilan University

Yehuda Stupniker, Ariel University

Haggai Zilberberg, Ariel University

Uri Zur, Israel Heritage Department, Ariel University

ENGLISH ABSTRACTS

PROF. SAUL LIEBERMAN AND THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED TORAH STUDIES AT BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY: A CHAPTER ILLUMINATING HIS *WELTANSCHAUUNG*

Shlomo H. Pick

The article discusses Prof. Saul Lieberman and his relationship to the Torah world. Part I enhances some of the material found in Marc Shapira's *Saul Lieberman and the Orthodox*. Part II discusses Lieberman's relationship with Israeli rabbis and Bar-Ilan University, especially its Department of Talmud. Part III provides new information concerning Prof. Lieberman and his relationship with and support of the Institute for Advanced Torah Studies at Bar-Ilan University, which is basically a yeshiva and a kollel in its classic form. His support reveals his allegiance to Halakhah as the only criterion for all activities.

ALGORITHM FOR LAND DIVISION AND THE JUBILEE IN MODERN TIMES

Erel Segal-haLevi

When the Israelites entered the land of Israel during the days of Joshua ben Nun, they were instructed to divide the land equally among them. They were also instructed to return the lands to their original owners once in 50 years. In our times, the lands are not divided equally, so it does not make sense to start counting towards the Jubilee, since this will only serve to keep the unequal division. On the other hand, in today's reality, it is not practical to actually take all lands and divide them equally. This paper presents a graph-based algorithm for gradual implementation of the Jubilee idea, thus ensuring that the number of land-owners will increase monotonically with minimal intervention in the land market. Agent-based simulations show that this algorithm converges to a state where every citizen has a land plot.

MEGILLAH AFAH: THE MEASUREMENT OF THE WORLD ACCORDING TO R. ELIEZER HAKALIR'S PERCEPTION

Uri Zur and Yehudah Ashkenazi

The present article deals with R. Eliezer HaKalir's understanding of the meaning of *zeret* (the "little finger" or "pinky") as a term denoting a unit of length, and the measurements of the universe as compared with those of the Torah.

The Tosafists cite HaKalir in connection with the following Talmudic passage (Eruvin 28a): "A folded [lit., flying] scroll, its length is twenty cubits, and its width is ten cubits, and when you unfold it, it would be [its extent] twenty by twenty [cubits], and since it is written, 'It was written within or without,' and if you peel it, how large would it be? Forty by twenty cubits. And it is written, 'Who has measured the waters in the hollow of his hand, and the heavens with a span He gauged'. Consequently, the entire universe is [equal to] one [part] in a three thousand and two hundred of the Torah."

In Eruvin 28a, s.v: "*echad*," the Tosafists quote HaKalir as follows: "Two thousand and four hundred in the world extended." R. HaKalir maintains that the size of the Torah is the same as that of the scroll (*megillah*), or 20 cubits (*amah*) by 40 cubits, thus totaling 800 square cubits.

Commentaries abound and debates are rife concerning the meaning of R. HaKalir's words. It is difficult to uphold any one definitive conclusion as to whether his opinion is that the size of the universe is equal to that of *zeret* (as did the Tosafists). Also, it appears that R. HaKalir distinguished between units of area and volume, unlike the text of the *sugya* in Eruvin and the Tosafot, a point which has confused some of the commentators.

The aim of the present article is to interpret R. HaKalir's view and to address the difficulties raised by the Tosafists in this connection. To do this, a series of different commentaries on R. HaKalir's words and the Tosafists' gloss will be taken into consideration.

THE SOURCE OF AESTHETICS AND FIBONACCI NUMBERS IN TALMUD'S TALES

Avraham Karsenty

The Talmud uses "axioms" describing man in his environment. One of them is brought in the Berakhot tractate: "Three things increase a man's self-esteem: a beautiful dwelling, a beautiful wife, and beautiful clothes." Beyond the spiritual approach, this axiom can be explained using the mathematical Golden Ratio (Phi) value. This assumed divine proportion appears in nature and the human body like a divine stamp, suggesting that the world is a planned creation. It exists not only in global architecture and art, but also in Noah's Ark, the Tabernacle, and the Temple. In this article, we demonstrate three novelties: 1) Even Talmudic axioms can be explained with mathematics; 2) the Golden Ratio, primarily cited in non-Jewish references, also appears in the Torah; and 3) the difficulty to identify the Golden Ratio in Torah texts is related not only to its different historical names, but also to the fundamentally different approach between Torah and other cultures.

UNDILUTED AND DILUTED WINE: THEIR WINE AND OUR WINE

Zohar Amar

In the hot Mediterranean climate of the Land of Israel and Babylon, wine may be produced by a natural manufacturing process similar to the techniques that were used in the past, delivering a product with a high percentage of alcohol and residual sugar. This allows for the production of a strong, sweet wine with a maximum alcohol content of 15.5% that may be preserved for a relatively extended period. Because of the high alcoholic content, it was accepted practice in many places in Mediterranean society during the Talmudic and Mishnaic periods to mix the wine with water, at various levels of dilution. It seems that the most common proportion was a ratio of 1:3, and this standard was ultimately accepted as a definition in Talmudic Jewish law and by Maimonides.

However, among the first religious decisors in Ashkenaz we find for the first time a distinction between "our" wine and "their" wine (and this later influenced the religious decisors of Sepharad). The explanation for this difference is related to climatic circumstances; indeed, the wine manufactured in Ashkenaz was weaker

than that generally found in the Middle East. It seems that as the parallel of latitude is more northern, the level of alcoholic content in the grapes decreases due to insufficient exposure to the sun. This explains why the rabbis ruled that there is no need to dilute the wine with water, and it is better to drink it unmixed. In fact, regions above the 50th parallel north are not suited to grape-growing and wine production, and therefore in the past, it was difficult in those regions to obtain wine, which was consequently very expensive. This is the source of the Ashkenazic custom of making *kiddush* on bread and allowing other high-status beverages to also be permitted for this purpose. The article discusses the various schools of halakhic thought for defining which beverages are appropriate for the blessing of *borei pri hagefen*, as well as determining the currently accepted standard in the Israeli wine industry.

The strength of wine is measurable today in absolute values using a vinometer, and if our interpretation is correct, one can precisely measure the halakhic "standard" for wine and determine the degree of dilution relative to the quantity of grapes in the wine or to the alcohol content in various wines – at a ratio of 1:3. In other words, one can propose determining a standard of at least 25% grapes in grape juice or wine.

In the strong wines of the Land of Israel, the undiluted wine can be mixed so as to ensure that its alcohol content is not less than 4%. Accepting this standard (for those who are not bound by the later Sephardic rabbinical rulings) may make it easier for those who are not accustomed to drinking strong wine, and who wish to perform the commandments in the strictest way by drinking the four cups on Passover using red wine rather than grape juice.

IDENTIFYING THE ACACIA TREES OF THE TABERNACLE

Mordechai E. Kislev

Two species of acacia were used for construction of the Tabernacle and its appurtenances: the white thorn (*Acacia albida*) was used for the poles and frames, and the Egyptian thorn (*Acacia nilotica* spp. *nilotica*) for the appurtenances, including the Holy Ark and the table. Here we assume that the Tabernacle was built from the best wood that was available to the ancient Hebrews, and these two acacias have clear advantages over their related species. In ancient Egyptian, the various acacia trees are called snd.t, which made its way directly into Biblical Hebrew as *shitta* (שיטה). In our region, only the white thorn has a large enough

trunk to form the frame boards, which were 5 m high and 0.75 m wide. The Children of Israel would not have been able to cut and dry the boards in the short time period between their receiving the commandment to build the Tabernacle on Tishrei 11 and its completion on Adar 23 in the same year. They therefore must have brought lumber with them from Egypt. The crossbars were most probably made from the horizontal roots of the white thorn brought from Eretz Yisra'el and replanted in Egypt. The Holy Ark and the table which were used in the Tabernacle and the Temple for 850 and 480 years respectively (unlike the frame boards and crossbars that were in use for only 53 years) had to be made of an extremely stable wood, namely Egyptian thorn.

THE MATHEMATICAL STRUCTURE OF THE "4-GATE TABLE" AND THE PREVALENCE CALCULATION IN THE HEBREW CALENDAR

Eran Raviv

In previous papers (BDD 22 and BDD 26) we demonstrated that if we wish to calculate the prevalence of *simanei hashana* we must consider that the *molad* of the *rosh machzor* cannot occur continuously in all parts of the week (181440 parts).

In this paper we analyze the "4-gate table," an ancient table from the period of the Geonim. In order to understand the internal structure of the table, we explain the mathematical function of the *rosh machzor*. In the second part we divide the 4-gate table into its basic building blocks, regarding the internal structure of 19 years as *machzor katan*.

In the second part of this paper, we present a new method describing how to change these building blocks, after which we can rebuild the "new 4-gate table." From this new table we can calculate the prevalence of *simanei hashana* correctly and accurately.

THE GENERATION OF SECESSION AND THE PROBLEM OF LINGUISTIC AMBIGUITY

Gaby Ravenna

The linguistic schism that formed in the wake of the story of the Tower of Babel expressed itself not only in the formation of new languages, but also in the indistinctness of identical words. In this context there are four approaches:

The descriptive approach, formulated in *midrash* and *piyut*, focusing on a graphic description of the occurrences.

The social approach of Rabbi Samson Raphael Hirsch, who perceives linguistic ambiguity as an expression of social processes.

The imminent approach of Abraham Korman, according to which the change that occurred in the nature of language and its indistinctness is an inner and fundamental transformation.

The philological approach, represented by Samuel David Luzzatto and Isaac Samuel Reggio, who view the indistinctness of language as a result of various philological phenomena, and which is limited only to the instances where these phenomena occur.

From these approaches arise several principles that have applications in the field of information and knowledge – the awareness of language's indistinctness is necessary for coping with it, and ignoring it may lead to unbearable consequences.

Social homogeneity simplifies the exchange of information and its organization and retrieval. The narrower a field of knowledge is, the more uniform its language, and consequently, the exchange, organization and retrieval of that knowledge is more straightforward.

Expressions having different meanings from their literal meanings may reduce the efficiency of information systems. Even within a single language, many dialects exist and this should be taken into consideration when organizing information.

TORAH AND TRIZ: ANALYSIS OF IDEAS OF JEWISH SAGES USING THE TRIZ APPROACH

Yehuda Stupniker and Haggai Zilberberg

In this research, we performed a ground-breaking step analysis of Jewish sages' ideas using the Theory of Inventive Problem Solving (TRIZ), that was formulated by the Russian-Jewish thinker, Geinrich Altshuller. Dealing with problem solving is the main component of the thinking process, and theoretical and applied research regarding this topic has intensified in recent years. This research investigated the existence and meaning of the connection between the ideas of Jewish sages and TRIZ.

For the purpose of this study, fundamental TRIZ ideas, such as deciphering, contradiction defining, ideal solution, and screen thinking concept were described. Some ideas from mainstream Jewish sages were analyzed with examples from the Talmud and Jewish sages. The TRIZ system was used as a tool for the analysis of these examples. This initial step is based on the analysis of more than one hundred examples from Jewish sages throughout the generations. Our conclusion shows a clear connection between Jewish sages' thought and TRIZ with various applications. In addition, this is the first time the TRIZ approach has been used for the analysis of ideas from Jewish Talmudic thought.

J. JEAN AJDLER

The Period of 689,472 Years in the Jewish Calendar and Its Applications in Frequency and Probability Problems

The central topic of this paper is the study of the period of the Jewish calendar. After a period of 36288 cycles of 19 years or 689,472 years, the initial *molad* of *Beharad* recurs a second time at the head of a year of rank 1 of a 19-year cycle. This day is the second day of the week and corresponds to Tishri 1, 689,473 AMI. It also corresponds to Monday, November 4, 685,720 of the Gregorian calendar. The loop is then looped and a new cycle begins again. The Jewish calendar is thus periodic but the period is 689,472 years and the historic part of the calendar is only a very little part of this period.

In the first section we describe an easy method for calculating the Jewish calendar and converting a Jewish date into a civil date by using the Julian day.

In the second section we examine thoroughly the period of the Jewish calendar. We show that there is a correlation between the rank of year in the 19-year cycle and the last digit (the right digit) of the *molad* of that year.

Further we show that when we consider the *molad* of the different years, the probability of occurrence of the figures 0, 1, 2 etc until 9 in the last digit of the different years' *moladot* is not constant. The probability of occurrence of 3 or 8 in the last digit is 7.89% while the probability of occurrence of another figure - 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 or 9 – in the last digit is 10.53%.

It has always been admitted that all the *moladot* of the years have the same probability of occurrence. Therefore it was considered that there is a proportion between the frequency of the use of a certain *dehiyah* or type of year, and the width of the corresponding area of its *moladot*. This principle is only approximately true, but the approximation is sufficiently good and people have considered this principle as exact. We show that the exact calculation of the frequency of the use of a type of *dehiyah* or type of year requires a detailed counting during the period of the Jewish calendar.

In the fifth section we explain how it is possible to calculate very simply when any given *molad* occurs for the first time. In the sixth section we explain how it is possible to calculate the four or three occasions when any given *molad* becomes the *molad* of a year.

This paper provides a deeper understanding of the Jewish calendar.

0

הוצאת אוניברסיטת בר-אילן 2013. כל הזכויות שמורות. קובץ זה מיועד למחבר בלבד ורק הוא רשאי להעבירו או להפיצו

I. EASILY CONVERTING A JEWISH DATE INTO A CIVIL DATE BY USING THE JULIAN DAY

The classical methods for converting a Jewish date into a civil date are long and dull. The principle rests on the calculation of Samuel's *tekufah* of September with regard to Tishri 1 and on the fact that the *tekufah* of Tishri always falls on September 24 in the Julian calendar. Louis A. Resnikoff¹ described an algorithm based on the same principle applicable in pocket calculators. Another method of computation makes use of the formula of Gauss² giving the date of Nissan 15 in the Julian calendar.³

We propose here a simple method in which we calculate the *molad* as a moment of the week and as a precise moment in history thanks to the Julian day. The method is conceptually very simple, but it must, however, be applied with care and precision.

Let us consider a concrete example: Nissan 15, 5751.

The Characteristics of the Jewish Year A = N + 1 = 5751

a) The rank of the year 5751 in the 19-year cycle

 $[5751]_{19} = 13$; the year 5751 is the 13th year of the cycle 303 of 19 years; it is a regular year preceding a leap year.

b) The molad of the year 5751

The number of Jewish months preceding the *molad* of year 5751 is given by the fundamental formula of the Jewish calendar:⁴

- 1 Scripta Mathematica Vol. IX, pp. 191-196 and 274-277.
- 2 Gauss, Werke VI Bd. 1874, pp. 80-81. Berechnung des Judischen Osterfestes. Zach's Monatliche Correspondenz zur beforderung der Erd und Himmelskunde, Mai 1802, p. 435.

Different authors tried to demonstrate this formula:

Demonstration des Formules de Mr. Gauss pour déterminer le jour de Pâque des Juifs, Correspondance Astronomique, Géographique, Hydrographique et Statistique du Baron De Zach, Genova, 1813.

Ableitung der gausschen formel zur bestimmung des Judischen Osterfetes, M. Hamburger, Crelles Journal fur die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Band 116 (1896).

Ida Rhodes, "Computation of the dates of the Hebrew New Year and Passover," *Comp. & Maths with Appls.* Vol. 3, pp. 183-190, Pergamon Press (1977).

- 3 Other formulas were proposed, for example: Eine algemeine Formel fur die gesamte judischen Kalenderberechnung, Slonimsky aus Bialystock, Crelles Journal fur die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Band 26 (1844). Beitrage zur Chronologie, Nesselman in Königsberg, Crelles Journal fur die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Band 28 (1844).
- 4 See mathematical appendix.

$\mathbf{F}_{t} = \mathbf{INT} \left[(235N + 1) / 19 \right] = \mathbf{INT} \left[(235 \times 5750 + 1) / 19 \right] = 71118.$

The molad expressed as a part of the week is:

 $Mol = [31524+71118 \times 765433]_{181440} = [31.524 + 71118 \times 39673]_{181440} = 103938$ hal = 4 - 0 - 258 = (5) - 0 - 258

This *molad* is thus after 4 days and 258 *halakim* or at the beginning of the fifth day at 0h 258 halakim i.e. Wednesday at 18h 258 hal. Tishri 1 falls on Thursday.

The four gates' table gives then the *keviyah* of the year: הכז. *Rosh ha-Shanah* is a Thursday and *Pesah* is on a Saturday.

This result can also be reached directly by calculating the *molad* of the years 5751 and 5752 and the days of Tishri 1 of these two years by the application of the four rules of postponement.

 $F_{t} = INT [(235 x 5751 + 1) / 19] = 71130$ Mol = [31524 + 71130 x 765433]₁₈₁₄₄₀⁵ = 35694 hal = 1 - 9 - 54 = (2) - 9 - 54

Tishri 1 falls on Monday. The shift of Tishri 1 between 5751 and 5752 is thus four days and the number of days lying between these two days, exclusive of the two days of Tishri 1, is 3.⁶ Therefore the year 5751 is a regular year and its length is 354 days. Thus *Rosh ha-Shanah* falls on Thursday because of the rules of the *dehiyot* and the length of the year is 354 days.

c) The year 5751 is thus a regular⁷ common⁸ year of 354 days beginning on a Thursday.

Nissan 15 is the 192nd day of this year and it falls on a Saturday.⁹

- 5 $[A]_{B}$ is the remainder of the division of A by B.
- 6 This is the algorithm described by Maimonides in *Hilkhot Kiddush ha-Hodesh* VIII, 7 and 8. He counts the number of days between the two days of Tishri 1, exclusive of the two days of Tishri 1. The length of the year is thus 353, 354 or 355 days according to whether this difference is 2, 3 or 4 for a common year; 383, 384 or 385 according to whether this difference is 4, 5 or 6 for a leap year. By contrast in his little work called: מאמר העיבור he counts the shift of *Rosh ha-Shanah* between the two years, i.e. he counts the day of *Rosh ha-Shanah* of one year + the number of days between. Therefore the length of the year is 353, 354 or 355 days according to whether the difference is 3, 4 or 5 for a common year; and 383, 384 or 385 according to whether the shift is 5, 6 or 7 for a leap year.
- 7 A regular year has 354 or 384 days, a defective year has 353 or 383 days and an abundant year has 355 or 385 days, according to whether the year is a common or a leap year.
- 8 A common year has 12 months and a leap year has 13 months.
- 9 See the fourteen possible calendars of the Jewish calendar: Yesodei ha-Ibbur, Hayim Zelig Slonimski, Warsaw 1852, end of the book. Shearim le-Luah ha-Ivri, Rahamim Sar Shalom, Netanya, 5744, p. 35.

The Jewish Calendar and the Julian Day

The Julian period's epoch is Monday, January 1, -4712 at noon. At this moment the number of elapsed day of the Julian period was 0. The Julian day n° 1 began on Monday at noon and ended on Tuesday at noon. Similarly, until the twentieth century, the astronomical days began at noon of the civil days of the same name.

The *molad* of *Beharad*, beginning of the Jewish era AMI, was on Sunday October 6, -3760 at 23h 204 hal; Jerusalem mean time. This moment belonged already to the second Jewish day of the week, which began at 18h, hence (2) - 5 - 204. It means the second day at 5 h and 204 *halakim*. It could be written as 1 - 2 - 204, meaning 1 day 5 h and 204 hal after the beginning of the week or 31524 hal after the beginning of the week.

Expressed in Julian days, the molad of Beharad was 347997.466203703703.

On Sunday, October 6, -3760 at noon, 347,997 days of the JP¹⁰ had elapsed and on Monday, October 7, -3760 = Tishri 1, 1 AMI, 347,998 days of the JP had elapsed. Tishri 1, 1 AMI thus began at 347997.25 JD¹¹ and ended at 347998.25 JD. Tishri 1 corresponded in its majority to the day 347,998 of the JP.

There is a second style of the Jewish calendar AMII, beginning on Tishri 1, 2 AMI.

The molad of this year was Weyad: 6 - 14.

The first day of this year was Tishri 1, 1 AMII = Tishri 1, 2 AMI; it corresponds to Saturday, September 27, -3759 or 348353 JD, beginning at 348352.25 JD and ending at 348353.25 JD.

We also note that Elul 25, 1 AMI = Monday, September 22, -3759 = 348348 JD. Elul 24, 1 AMI = Sunday, September 21, -3759 = 348347 JD.

The Year 5751 and the Civil Year

Expressed in Julian days, the molad of 5751 is given by the following formula:12

Mol= 347997.466203703 + 29.530594135804 x 71118 = 2448154.25995370370 JD

This *molad* is thus on a civil Wednesday 18h 258 hal or on a Jewish Thursday at 0h 258 hal.

Ha-Luah ve-Shimusho ba-Kronologia, A. A. Akabia, Magnes, Jerusalem 1953, pp. 50-53. These books will be named by the following abbreviations: *Yesodei*, *Shearim*, and *Kronologia*.

12 This formula gives the same result as Shram's formula.

¹⁰ Julian period.

¹¹ Julian day.

Rosh ha-Shanah is thus Thursday, from 2448154.25 JD until 2448155.25 JD. Tishri 1, 5751 thus corresponds to 2448155 JD and Nissan 15 = 2448155 + 191 = 2448346 JD. This day corresponds to Saturday, March 30, 1991.¹³ Indeed the beginning of that civil day corresponds to 2448345.5 JD We add 0.5 to the JD of the beginning of the civil day: 2448346 JD. $\alpha = INT [(2,448,346 - 1,867,216.25) / 36,524.25] = 15$ A = 2,448,346 + 1 + 15 - INT (15/4) = 2,448,359We calculate then: B = A + 1524 = 2,449,883C = INT [(2,449,883 - 122.1) / 365.25] = 6,707

D = INT (365.25 x 6,707) = 2,449,731

E = INT [(2,449,883 - 2,449,731) / 30.6001] = 4

The day of the month is:

 $B - D - INT (30.6001 \text{ x E}) + F = 2,449,883 - 2,449,731 - 122 + 0.5 = 30.5^{14}$

The month number *m* is E - 1 = 3 = March.

The year is C - 4716 = 6707 - 4716 = 1991

The weekday is given by the JD at 0h + 1.5 = 2,448,345.5 + 1.5 = 2,448,347

 $[2,448,347]_7 = 6$; it is Saturday, March 30, 1991.

Nissan 15, 5751 Expressed as a Day of the Jewish Period

If we consider that Tishri 1, 1 AMI was the first day of the Jewish period, then 1 Jewish Period = 347998 JD = Monday.

Nissan 15, 5751AMI = 2448346 - 347997 = 2100349 Jewish Period or JewP.

Nissan 15, 5751 Expressed as a Day of Kimelman's Jewish Period

In a paper published in *BDD* 16, August 2005, Arieh Kimelman proposed a Jewish era beginning on Elul 25, 1 AMI, referring to the old tradition ascribed to Rabbi

- 13 For the conversion of a Julian day into a civil date see Astronomical Algorithms, Jean Meeus, Willman-Bell, 1991, p. 59. This is the same reference for the determination of a weekday.
- 14 March 30 at noon is 30.5.

Eliezer that the first day of the creation was Sunday, Elul 25, 1 AMI.¹⁵ However this tradition is anterior to our calendar and it does not agree with the rules of the calendar. In our calendar, Elul 25, 1 AMI is a Monday and the day to which Rabbi Eliezer referred is Elul 24, 1 AMI; this is in fact the first day of the Jewish Period of Kimelman.

The first day of his period is 348347 JD and therefore Nissan 15, 5751 corresponds to 2448346 - 348346 = 2100000 Kimelman period.

II. THE PERIOD OF THE JEWISH CALENDAR OR THE GREAT CYCLE OF THE JEWISH CALENDAR

The Remainder

The excess of a certain span of time over the greatest possible number of complete weeks is thus the remainder of the division of this span of time expressed in days by 7. Alternatively, $[\text{span}]_7$ or $[\text{span}] \mod 7$ represents the shift of the end of this span of time in the week with regard to its beginning. If the span of time is expressed in *halakim*, then the length of the week is 181440 *halakim* and the remainder to take into consideration is the remainder of the division of the span of time by the greatest possible multiple of 181440. We will call the result of the operation $[\text{span}]_7$ or $[\text{span}]_{181440}$, the remainder.¹⁶

The main remainders are:

1 month		: Remainder	39673 hal
235 months =	= 1 19-year cycle	: Remainder	69715 hal
3055 months =	= 13 cycles	: Remainder	180535 hal = -905 hal
50760 months =	= 216 cycles	: Remainder	180360 hal = -1080 hal
8527680 months =	= $168 \times 216 = 36288$ cycles	: Remainder	0 hal
181440 months =	= 772 cycles + 20 months	: Remainder	0 hal

After 216 cycles or 4104 years, the original molad has shifted by one hour behind.

After 168 x 216 = 36,288 cycles corresponding to 8,527,680 months and 689,472 years, the remainder is 0 and the original *molad* is again at its original position. Thus the *molad* of *Beharad* is the *molad* of the year¹⁷ 1 AMI and it is also the *molad* of the year 689,743 AMI.

15 Vayikra Rabbah XXIX, 1.

10

- 16 Maimonides in Hilkhot Kiddush ha-Hodesh VI, 5 had already adopted the same terminology.
- 17 The *molad* of a year is the *molad* of Tishri beginning this year.

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

© Copyright Bar-llan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

The molad of the year 689743 AMI is given by the formula:

347,997.466203703 + 29.530594135804 x 8,527,680 = 252,175,454.466203703 JD.¹⁸

The length of the Jewish period is 251,827,457 days, corresponding exactly to: $29.530594135804 \ge 8,527,680 = 251,827,457$ days.

After 181440 months the remainder is also 0. But 181440 months corresponds to 772 cycles + 20 months. Thus after 772 cycles and 20 months, or after 14669 years and 8 months, we obtain the same *molad*.

In other words the *molad* of *Beharad* of Tishri 1 AMI was on (2) - 5 - 204.

181,440 months later the *molad* of Sivan 14670 AMI will be (2) - 5 - 204.

181,440 months later the *molad* of Tevet 29340 AMI will be (2) - 5 - 204.

And so on.

Finally, after recurring 47 times the *molad* of *Beharad* will be the *molad* of Tishri 689,743 AMI on (2) - 5 - 204.

Thus after a span of time of 181440 months the *molad* is again the same but the name of the month has changed. It is no more Tishri, but it becomes Sivan, Tevet, and so on.

We ascertain that 8,527,680 / 181440 = 47, thus each *molad* repeats itself 47 times during the Jewish period. At the 48th time we come back to the initial situation; the *molad* of *Beharad* is again a *molad* of Tishri and the second period begins again. Furthermore, we ascertain that 689,472 / 181,440 = 3.8. This is quite surprising; each *molad* would be 3.8 times the *molad* of a year during the period. This proves that the different *moladot* do not play a symmetric role with regard to the different years of the period.

If we take into consideration that $(1 \times 3 + 4 \times 4) / (1 + 4) = 3.8$ we can imagine that the 181,440 *moladot* must be divided into two groups; a first group of 36288 *moladot* would be three times the *molad* of years¹⁹ and a second group of 145,152 *moladot* would be four times the *molad* of years.²⁰ In order to gain better insight into the matter we will consider a few examples showing how a *molad* reproduces during

- 19 And 44 times the *molad* of a month different from Tishri. Note that in *B.D.D.* 22, Eran Raviv had already mentioned this fact.
- 20 And 43 times the *molad* of months different from Tishri.

¹⁸ At midnight the JD is 252,175,454.5 JD. One can check that this moment is indeed the beginning of Monday, November 4, 685,720 in the Gregorian calendar.

the period. We'll first consider the *molad* (2) - 5 - 204. In the following tables, the parameters are:

- * k is the order number or rank of occurrence of this *molad* during the period of the Jewish calendar before the current *molad*.
- [F,] represents the number of months before the considered *molad*.
- * $[F_t]_{235}$ represents the number of months preceding the current *molad* in the current 19-year cycle.
- * [N]₁₉ represents the number of years of the current 19-year cycle preceding the *molad* when it occurs on Tishri and is therefore the *molad* of a year.

Example 1

Molad (2) - 5 - 204. The last digit of the *molad* is 4. The first occurrence of this *molad* is after 0 months.

Table 1: The Molad Beharad in the Period of the Jewish Calendar (example 1)

k	[F _t] ₂₃₅	[N] ₁₉	k	[F _t] ₂₃₅	[N] ₁₉
0	0	0	24	10	
1	20		25	30	
2	40		26	50	
3	60		27	70	
4	80		28	90	
5	100		29	110	
6	120		30	130	
7	140		31	150	
8	160	13	32	170	
9	180		33	190	
10	200		34	210	17
11	220		35	230	
12	5		36	15	
13	25		37	35	
14	45		38	55	
15	65		39	75	
16	85		40	95	
17	105		41	115	
18	125		42	135	
19	145		43	155	
20	165		44	175	
21	185	15	45	195	
22	205		46	215	
23	225		47	235	0

 $[F_{1}]_{235}$ represents the number of months preceding the *molad* in the last 19-year cycle. As $[181,440]_{235} = 20$, the *molad* corresponding to k=1 is preceded by 20 months in the 19-year cycle, the *molad* corresponding to k=2 is preceded by 40 months in the last 19-year cycle, and so on.

We ascertain that the *molad* recurs 47 times in the period, but four times in the period it is the *molad* of a year, one time the *molad* of a cycle, and three times the *molad* of a year, different from the first year of a cycle. These four times are the *molad* of Tishri 1 AMI, Tishri 117358 AMI (14th year of cycle 6177), Tishri 308063 AMI (16th year of cycle 16214), and Tishri 498768 AMI (18th year of cycle 26251).

Example 2

Molad (2) - 5 - 205. The last digit of the molad is 5.

The first occurrence of this molad is after 74377 months.²¹

We ascertain that the molad recurs 47 times during the period of the Jewish calendar.

During this period it is 43 times the *molad* of months different from Tishri and four times the *molad* of a year: Tishri 64693 AMI (17th year of cycle 3405), Tishri 255398 AMI (19th year of cycle 13442), Tishri 446103 AMI (2nd year of cycle 23480), and Tishri 636808 (4th year of cycle 33517).

Example 3

Molad(1) - 11 - 543. The last digit of the molad is 3.

The first occurrence of this molad is after 123 months.

We ascertain that this *molad*, ending with 3, recurs 47 times in the period and is only three times the *molad* of a year during the period of the Jewish calendar: Tishri of year 11 AMI, Tishri 190716 AMI (13th year of cycle 10038), and Tishri 381421 (15th year of cycle 20075).

Example 4

Molad (4) - 17 - 928. This molad ends with an 8.

The first occurrence of this molad is after 148 months.

We ascertain that this *molad*, ending with 8, recurs 47 times during the period of the Jewish calendar but it is only three times the *molad* of a year: Tishri 13 AMI,

21 See below section V: Calculation of the First Occurrence of Any Given Molad.

Tishri 190718 (15th year of cycle 10038), and Tishri 498780 (11th year of cycle 26252).

In order to have a better understanding of the problem we'll examine the two first cycles of 19 years, beginning with the years 1 and 20, and examine the last digit of the *moladot*. The remainder of a 19-year cycle or 235 months is 69,715 *halakim*.

Therefore we note that the *molad* of the twentieth year, the first year of the cycle 2 is 101,239 = 31,524 + 69,715 and the *molad* of the 39^{th} year, the first year of the 3^{rd} cycle, is 170,954 = 101,239 + 69,715. Similarly the *molad* of any year of the cycle 2 is the *molad* of the year of the same rank in the cycle 1, increased by 69,715 *halakim*.

Table 2: The *Molad* (2) – 5 – 205 during the Period of the Jewish Calendar (example 2)

k	$[F_t]_{235}$	[N] ₁₉	k	$[F_t]_{235}$	[N] ₁₉
0	117		24	127	
1	137		25	147	
2	157		26	167	
3	177		27	187	
4	197	16	28	207	
5	217		29	227	
6	2		30	12	1
7	22		31	32	
8	42		32	52	
9	62		33	72	
10	82		34	92	
11	102		35	112	
12	122		36	132	
13	142		37	152	
14	162		38	172	
15	182		39	192	
16	202		40	212	
17	222	18	41	232	
18	7		42	17	
19	27		43	37	3
20	47		44	57	
21	67		45	77	
22	87		46	97	
23	107		47	117	

Cycle 1			
Number of the Year	Molad	Number of the Year	Molad
1	31524	11	12423
2	144720	12	165292
3	76476	13	97048
4	47905	14	28804
5	161101	15	233
6	92857	16	113429
7	64286	17	45185
8	177482	18	16614
9	148911	19	129810
10	80667	20	101239

Table 3: The Molad of the Years of the First Cycle of the Jewish Period

Table 4: The Molad of the Years of the Second Cycle of the Jewish Period

Cycle 2			
Number of the Year	Molad	Number of the Year	Molad
1	101239	11	82138
2	32995	12	53567
3	146191	13	166763
4	117620	14	98519
5	49376	15	69948
6	162572	16	1704
7	134001	17	114900
8	65757	18	86329
9	37186	19	18085
10	150382	20	170954

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

© Copyright Bar-llan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

Number of the Year	Molad Right Digit	Number of the Year	Molad Right Digit
1	4 or 9	11	3 or 8
2	0 or 5	12	2 or 7
3	6 or 1	13	8 or 3
4	5 or 0	14	4 or 9
5	1 or 6	15	3 or 8
6	7 or 2	16	9 or 4
7	6 or 1	17	5 or 0
8	2 or 7	18	4 or 9
9	1 or 6	19	0 or 5
10	7 or 2	20	9 or 4

Table 5: Right Digit of the Molad of a Year as a Function of its Rank in the19-year Cycle

We further note that in a 19-year cycle we encounter the last right digits 0 and 5 twice, the last right digits 2 and 7 twice, and the last right digits 1 and 6 twice. By contrast, the last right digits 4 and 9 are not equally represented: if the *molad* of the cycle ends with 4, then we have three *moladot* ending with 4 and one *molad* ending with 9. If the *molad* of the cycle ends with 9, then we have three *moladot* ending with 9 and one *molad* ending with 4. Similarly, the two last right digits 3 and 8 are not equally represented. When the *molad* of the cycle ends with 4, then we have three *moladot* ending with 8 and 9 are not equally represented. When the *molad* of the cycle ends with 8, and the *moladot* ending with 9. Then we have the *moladot* ending with 8 and one *molad* ending with 8 and 9 are not equally represented. When the *molad* of the cycle ends with 9, then we have the *moladot* ending with 8. By contrast, if the *molad* of the cycle ends with 9, then we have the *moladot* of two years ending with 8, and the *molad* of one year ending with 3.

At the scale of the complete period of the Jewish calendar representing 36,288 cycles:

- * Each *molad* ending with 0 or 5 recurs 47 times during the period and among these 47 times, four times it is the *molad* of a year of rank 2, 4, 17 and 19 in the 19-year cycle.
- * Each *molad* ending with 1 or 6 recurs 47 times during the period and among these 47 times, four times it is the *molad* of a year of rank 3, 5, 7 and 9 in the 19-year cycle.
- * Each *molad* ending with 2 or 7 recurs 47 times during the period and among these 47 times, four times it is the *molad* of a year of rank 6, 8, 10 and 12 in the 19-year cycle.

* Each *molad* ending with 4 or 9 recurs 47 times during the period and among these 47 times, four times it is the *molad* of a year of rank 1, 14, 16 and 18 in the 19-year cycle.

It is thus one time the *molad* of a 19-year cycle, and 3 times the *molad* of a year of the cycle different from the first year of a cycle.

Each *molad* ending with 3 or 8 recurs 47 times during the period and among these 47 times, 3 times it is the *molad* of a year of rank 11, 13 and 15 in the 19-year cycle.

Thus when we consider the complete period of the Jewish calendar:

There are 181,440 possible *moladot* and thus 18,144 *moladot* ending with 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9.

The *moladot* ending with 0 correspond to $18,144 \ge 72,576$ years. The *moladot* ending with 1 correspond to $18,144 \ge 72,576$ years. The *moladot* ending with 2 correspond to $18,144 \ge 72,576$ years. The *moladot* ending with 3 correspond to $18,144 \ge 54,432$ years. The *moladot* ending with 4 correspond to $18,144 \ge 72,576$ years. The *moladot* ending with 5 correspond to $18,144 \ge 72,576$ years. The *moladot* ending with 6 correspond to $18,144 \ge 72,576$ years. The *moladot* ending with 7 correspond to $18,144 \ge 72,576$ years. The *moladot* ending with 8 correspond to $18,144 \ge 54,432$ years. The *moladot* ending with 9 correspond to $18,144 \ge 72,576$ years. We can still write: Years' moladot ending with 0: 72,576 Years' moladot ending with 5: 72,576 Years' moladot ending with 1: 72,576 Years' moladot ending with 6: 72,576 Years' moladot ending with 2: 72,576 Years' moladot ending with 7: 72,576 Years' moladot ending with 3: 54,432 Years' moladot ending with 8: 54,432 Years' moladot ending with 4: 72,576 Years' moladot ending with 9: 72,576

In other words, when we consider the *moladot* of the 8,527,680 months of the Jewish period, the probability that the last digit of any *molad* is one of the figures 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 or 9 is always 10%. By contrast if we consider only the *moladot* of the 689,472 years, then the probability that the last digit of any *molad* of a year is one of the figures 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 or 9 is 10.53%, and the probability that the last digit of any *molad* of a year is 3 or 8 is 7.89%.

Copyright Bar-Ilan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

0

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

Total: $72,576 \ge 8 + 54,432 \ge 689,472$ years.

The Dehiyot and the Length of the Jewish Year

The length of the period of the Jewish calendar is 36,288 cycles, 689,472 years, 8,527,680 months, and 251,827,457 days.

The length of a 19-year cycle is 6939, 6940, 6941 or 6942 days.

The average length of a cycle is 6939 days + 25025 / 36288.

Now the length of 235 Jewish lunations is $(29 - 12 - 793) \ge 235 = 6939 \text{ days} + 17875/25920$. It easy to check that, multiplying the numerator and the denominator of the first fraction by (7 / 5), we get the second; these two fractions are thus equal. This means that the average length of a cycle is equal to the length of 235 Jewish lunations and therefore the average length of a month is equal to the length of a Jewish lunation i.e. 29 - 12 - 793.

We ascertain that the *dehiyot* did not intervene in this reasoning. The *dehiyot* have no incidence on the average length of the Jewish calendar. Whether with *dehiyot* or without *dehiyot*, the length of the period of the Jewish calendar is the same. This fact is not generally known.²²

III. THE FREQUENCY OF USING THE RULES OF POSTPONEMENT OR DEHIYOT

The problem of the quantification of the frequency of the use of rules of postponements is well known. However the solution that was previously given is an approximate solution. An exact solution requires the understanding of the generation of the *moladot* of the years of the Jewish period. The approximate solution assumes that all *moladot* of the different years of the complete period of the Jewish calendar have a uniform distribution, and therefore there is perfect proportionality between the number of years corresponding to a considered postponement, and the width of the corresponding area imposed for the *moladot* of the years.

The *keviyah* contains three letters: the first gives the weekday of *Rosh ha-Shanah*, and the third gives the weekday of the following *Pesah*. The intermediate letter indicates the length of the year. π = defective = 353 or 383 days, \mathfrak{D} = regular = 354 or 384 days. \mathfrak{W} = abundant = 355 or 385 days.

²² In his paper, "Le Calendrier Juif et ses problèmes," Moïse Sibony, *Revue des Etudes Juives*, tome CXXXVI, fascicule 1-2, pp. 139-154, writes on p. 153 that the *dehiyot* have an incidence on the average length of the Jewish years and that the *dehiyot* allow for its length, thus better narrowing the length of the tropic year. Note also that Table 5 above is in fact equivalent to the table published in *B.D.D.* 22, p. 35.

Table 6: The Four Gates' Table Giving the Keviyah of a Year as a Function of the Molad of the Year

	Four Gates' Table						
	Leap Ye	ears					
Before a Leap YearAfter a Leap Year $2-5-10-13-16$ $1-4-9-12-15$		Between Leap Years 7 – 18		3-6-8- -17-	11 – 14 19		
Molad	Keviyah	Molad	Keviyah	Molad	Keviyah	Molad	Keviyah
174960 9923	בחג	174960 9923	בחג	174960 9923	בחג	174960 22090	בחה
9924 45359	בשה	9924 42708	בשה	9924 42708	בשה	22091 45359	בשז
45360 61763	גכה	42709 61763	גכה	42709 61763	גכה	45360 71279	גכז
61764 113603	הכז	61764 113603	הכז	61764 113603	הכז	71280 90334	החא
113604 123119	השא	113604 123119	השא	113604 123119	השא	90335 123119	השג
123120 139523	זחא	123120 130007	זחא	123120 139523	זחא	123120 151690	זחג
139524 174959	זשג	130008 174959	זשג	139524 174959	זשג	151691 174959	זשה

Definition of Postponements

Table 7 provides definitions for the concept of postponements.

Table 7: Definition of Postponements

Postponement	Definition
1	Molad Zakken
2	ADU
3	Molad Zakken + ADU
4	Gatrad in a common year
5	Betoutakfat after a leap year
6	No postponement

The following table provides the limits of the areas of the *moladot* corresponding to each postponement. It was established from the four gates' table.

Betwee Aft	Between Leap Years and After Leap Years			ore Leap Y	ears]	Leap Year	S
1, 4, 9	, 12, 15, 7	and 18	2, 5	, 10, 13 an	d 16	3, 6, 8,	11, 14, 17	and 19
From	Until	Postp.	From	Until	Postp.	From	Until	Postp.
9924	25919	2	9924	25919	2	9924	25919	2
25920	42708	6	25920	45359	6	25920	45359	6
42709	45359	5	45360	51839	1	45360	51839	1
45360	51839	1	51840	61763	6	51840	71279	6
51840	61763	6	61764	71279	4	71280	77759	3
61764	71279	4	71280	77759	3	77760	103679	2
71280	77759	3	77760	103679	2	103680	123119	6
77760	103679	2	103680	123119	6	123120	129599	3
103680	123119	6	123120	129599	3	129600	155519	2
123120	129599	3	129600	155519	2	155520	174959	6
129600	155519	2	155520	174959	6	174960	181439	3
155520	174959	6	174960	181439	3	181440	191363	2
174960	181439	3	181440	191363	2			
181440	191363	2						

Table 8: The Postponements as a Function of the Year's Rank in a 19-YearCycle and the *Molad* of the Year

Dehiyah 1: Molad Zakken when the molad falls on Monday from noon until 5h 1079 hal p.m; thus from 45360 until 51839 included. All the years in the 19-year cycle are included. The area contains 6480 moladot, thus 648 for each possible right digit. We know that during the period of the Jewish calendar, each molad is four times the molad of a year except those moladot ending with 3 or 8, which are only three times the molad of a year. Therefore the total number of years with a molad belonging to this area is:

 $648 \ge 8 \ge 4 + 648 \ge 2 \ge 3 = 20736 + 3888 = 24624.^{23}$

This result is not different from the generally proposed solution considering that the number of years is proportional to the width of the area of *moladot*. In this case the proportion is $1/4 \ge 728 = 3.57\%$.

Dehiyah 2: ADU. The width of this area is $3 \ge 4 = 12$ times the width of the area of *dehiyah* 1. The width of the area is $25920 \ge 3 = 77760$. The total number of years concerned is thus $7776 \ge 3 \le 4 \le 7776 \le 2 \le 3 \le 24624 \le 12 \le 295,488$ years.

Dehiyah 3: ADU + Molad Zakken. The width of this area is 3 times the width of the area of *dehiyah* 1: 19440. The total number of years concerned is then: 1944 x 8 x 4 + 1944 x 2 x 3 = 24624 x 3 = 73872 years.

Dehiyah 4: Gatrad in a common year.

Until now there was no difference between our refined analysis and the simplified method considering a perfect proportionality between the number of years in the period and the width of the areas of *moladot* corresponding to postponement under examination. But we now acknowledge that things are different.

Table 9:	Counting the Number of years Resulting from the Intervention of
(Catrad's Postponement for Each Rank in the 19-Year Cycle

Year's Rank in the 19-Year Cycle	Right Digit of the Molad	Number of Years
1	4 or 9	1904
2	0 or 5	1903
4	0 or 5	1903
5	1 or 6	1903
7	1 or 6	1903
9	1 or 6	1903
10	2 or 7	1903
12	2 or 7	1903
13	8 or 3	1903
15	3 or 8	1903
16	4 or 9	1904
18	4 or 9	1904
Total		22,83924
	1	

The limits of the area of the *moladot* corresponding to the postponement of *gatrad* are 61764 and 71279. The limits belong to the area, which covers 9516 *moladot*.

The total number of years resulting from the intervention of the *dehiyah* of *gatrad* is thus 22,839.

24 The common calculation is the following: $[9516 / 181440] \times [12/19] = 3.31\%$. This corresponds to 22,838.4 years in the period of the Jewish calendar.

Dehiyah 5: Betoutakfat after a leap year.

The limits of the area of the *moladot* corresponding to the postponement of *betoutakfat* are 42709 and 45359. The limits belong to the area, which covers 9516 *moladot*.

Table 10: Counting the Number of Years Resulting from the Intervention of Betoutakfat's Postponement for Each Rank in the 19-Year Cycle

Year's Rank in the 19-Year Cycle	Right Digit of the Molad	Number of Years
1	4 or 9	531
4	0 or 5	530
7	1 or 6	530
9	1 or 6	530
12	2 or 7	530
15	3 or 8	530
18	4 or 9	531
Total		371225

The total number of years resulting from the intervention of the *dehiyah* of *betoutakfat* is thus 3712.

Dehiyah 6: No postponement.

The number of years without postponement is: 689,472 - 24,624 - 295,488 - 73,872 - 22,839 - 3,712 = 268,937.

The following table summarizes our conclusions:

The common calculation is: $[2651/181440] \ge (7/19] = 0.54\%$. It corresponds to 3711.4 years in the period of the Jewish calendar. See Sar Shalom, p. 37.

© Copyright Bar-llan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

Postponement	Number of Years during the Complete Period of the Jewish Calendar	Percentage or Probability of Occurrence
1	24,624	3.57
2	295,488	42.86
3	73,872	10.71
4	22,839	3.31
5	3,712	0.54
6	268,937	39.01
Total	689,472	100

Table 11: Frequency of the Intervention of the Different Postponements during the Complete Period of the Jewish Calendar

IV. FREQUENCY OF THE DIFFERENT KEVIYOT

Let us consider in detail the case of the *keviyah*, בחה, for a leap year.

First Method

We depart from the Four Gates' table.

The area of the *moladot* corresponding to this *keviyah* is (7) - 18 to (1) - 20 - 490 included or from 174,960 to 22,090 included. It contains 28,571 *moladot*. Let us consider the different leap years as a function of their rank in the 19-year cycle.

Year 3: The right digit is 1 or 6. There are 5714 years of rank 3 in the area. Year 6: The right digit is 7 or 2. There are 5714 years of rank 6 in the area. Year 8: The right digit is 2 or 7. There are 5714 years of rank 8 in the area. Year 11: The right digit is 3 or 8. There are 5714 years of rank 11 in the area. Year 14: The right digit is 4 or 9. There are 5714 years of rank 14 in the area. Year 17: The right digit is 5 or 0. There are 5715 years of rank 17 in the area. Year 19: The right digit is 0 or 5. There are 5715 years of rank 19 in the area.

The total number of years whose *molad* is included in the area 174960 - 22090 is thus $40,000.^{26}$ This gives a proportion of 40000 / 689472 = 5.8%.

26 Sar Shalom has proposed a similar reasoning in his book, p. 46. He considered an area of 28570 x (7/19) = 10525.7895 and a percentage of 10,525.7895 / 181440 = 5.8%. This calculation is approximate because the numerator is not an integer. It is even erroneous

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

Copyright Bar-llan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

Second Method

We depart from the 61 heads' table.

The 61 heads' table allows us to find, as a function of the areas of the *moladot* of the 19-year cycles,²⁷ the different types of cycles and the *keviyah* of each year. We can then find the different types of cycles including a leap year with the *keviyah* . Contained the number of cycles of each type if we take into account that the right digit of the *moladot* of cycles is 4 or 9. Summing the number of cycles of each type including a leap year with the *keviyah* and summing the number of types results in the number of leap years with the *keviyah*.

This second method was used in the following tables.

because the width of the area is 28571 and not 28570. I made the first approximation in my book *Hilkhot Kiddush ha-Hodesh al-pi ha-Rambam*, Jerusalem, 1996.

27 The molad of a cycle is the molad Tishri of the first year of this cycle. Joseph Ofer has proposed in his paper entitled שכיחותן של קביעות השנה ושל ההפטרות published in Sinai, Vol. 121, 1997-1998, a different solution to this problem. But again the solution is approximate. © Copyright Bar-llan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

הוצאת אוניברסיטת בר-אילן 2013. כל הזכויות שמורות. קובץ זה מיועד למחבר בלבד ורק הוא רשאי להעבירו או להפיצו

						- 142 ara	*******			32742									_											
				nden					הלפר											הלפט				הלפט						
			긧	đ				킛	ថ			7,		ļ					IJ	ជ			Ę	đ					2	
			7	U					ы			2		78	G				٦	u			-	ນ					1 1 7	
		-	1					4						F	1				4				4						ч к	
1	1 3	! .	a	긫	7	3	7	G	ŗ	3	3	וחריה	3	Ø	ដី	Ę	Ę	Ŗ	6	킛	3	ŝ	ß	3	3	3	3	7		
	3			<u>.</u> 2	긢	긢	2	<u></u> 2	ä	<u>a</u> 71	7	<u>×</u> 1	<u>11</u>	<u>ч</u>	<u>וו</u> א	ਹ ਦ		<u>บ</u> น	ы ы	<u>ы</u> н	ו: ע		님	님	다. 남	7	ц Ц	u v	•	
		· ·		ਕ ਸ	3	7	3 2	24 24	ג 1	4	7	्य स	4 	ੂ = ਸ	레	: 4 및	ਬ ਹ	3	ਸ ਹ	ੂਬ 	리	3	Э 	3	1 	а П	ä	3		
3	1		<u>-</u>	ដ *	и 7	ដ *	11	2	2	Ц	ů	8	11	IJ U	3	n a	11	ង 	U U	ម័	ដ	10	3	3	ä	ä	đ	1.00	3	
р Ц	E.	1 5	3	3	3	Ę	ä	3	â	ប៊ួ	ะี	ប្ដ	ប្ត	ü	ប៊ួ	2	ü	បី	មី	đ	ц Ц	ŭ	ц Б	đ L	đ	đ	77	สกับ	3	
i i	đ		i.	No.	ğ	, T	Ĕ	ă	ğ	ILN	1LX	158	NL1	KAT	TLN	Ĩ	L'GN	LDN	ដ្ឋ	ğ	ទី	Ę	ġ	107	ប្ដ	1 H	Ę	ប្ដី	đ	D
נכה	102			2	78 11	1911	בשה	בשה	נשה	18 1	2 19 11	רשה גושה	בשה	נשה	700	ก มายาม	ברג	ž	Ľ	ЧĽ	H	ដ	Le .	ğ	ų	Å	ų	191	ថ	
2	1		N	ELN	ĩ	ប្រ	ប្ដ	บี	ប៊ួ	ប៊ួ	ប្ដ	ũ	บี	đ	đ	ă	đ	đ	đ	ថ្ង	ā	đ	R	2	770	3	an	a.	 	
L.		1	đ	ğ	N	3	 M	Ă	3	N	N	X	.ã	ភ្	ជ្	ų.	ដ្	ដ្	ភ្	ជូ	ជ្	ជ	ភ្ញ.	ដូ	ក្ត	ក្ត	ដ			
- 20	i		2	2	10	25	קר	יי סר	שה ז	ד שת	ت ۲	 ਮ	.". Ч	- 4	਼ ਮੁ		ן א	1	ר. אי	ц н		а 	ੂ ਦ	ีย - น	ੂ ਪੁ	ୁ ସ ୁ	ม 	ม 		
-) I	U 		u 	ם 	U a	1) 2	11	ม์ ส	0 =	й т	Ū 2	ם ד	ت 	ដ 	រីរ 	3 3	3	3	12	4		đ	đ	đ	5	đ		Z
5		:	ដ	R	2 	법] 7	n a	ů	ů	3	u u	ц В	11	u u	4	u u	ц Ц	3	ä	3	ä.	5	1	ġ	ġ	đ	121	191	3	D
201	2			FLX	Ĕ	ĝ	124	ÿ	Ę.	Ū,	ГU Г	ប្ដ	ទី	Ę	ដ្ឋ	151	הנו	11	ប៊ីដ	קנו	104	101	ប្ដី	LCU L	រដ្ឋ	ដូ	สม	สมม	•	_
191	i i		141	กตา	נשה	มาม	ដ	นี่ม	ដ	ň	202	1001	181	ja j	ğ	121	Ц	Ę	181	121	ਸ਼ੂ	191	Į Į	N II	NIT	N71	NUN	NUA	а	
ĩ	: :	į	ធី	đ	ĩ	ទី	ធី	цаў Ц	i B L	1 1 1 1	ទីដ	ភពដ	มาว	175	בחה	212	สาว	1 C	ברה	קקר	5	המו	זשה	דשה	ğ	1 E	קא	ĭ	• 1	U
30,	1	1	ក្ត	ប៊ី	ជ្	ប្ដ	ប៊្	ប្ដ	ថ្ម	ដ្	ប្ដ	ប្ដ	ជ្	ត្	ប្ដី	ប៊្	ថ្ម	ថ្ម	IJ.	ដ	ð	5	5	ដ	ā	đ	ā	TR D		
۴ ۱	; ;		4			4	4	ĕ	ĕ		4	ĕ	ă	i i	ŭ	ц Ц		ь. Н	 8	4 3	ž	3	ž	ž					•	
		4 2	ੜ ਸ਼	=	ਸ ਸ	#	77	12	म प्र	1	а 	آت 	а 	21 	ਾਂ ਹਿ	а 		<u>ت</u>		9 	9	5	5		ដ *	ີ 		2		J.
ì	; ;		ŭ	2	ŭ	2	ü	5	1	1	7	5	3	3	đ	9	ğ	đ	đ	9	19	8	<u>q</u>	Ē	3	5	fi F	1	ы 	
-	19	1	ជ្	ធ្វ័	ĘĊ	Ę	1 L L	ŭ	ម្ពី	ជ្	ប្ដ័	ប្ដី	ដ្ឋ	ប្អ	102	ដ្ឋ	ដ្ឋ	10	บี	บีบ	ğ	ğ	19	ğ	ğ	ផ្ល	ц Ц	ชี ม	د. 	
11	1		E E	rar L	בשל	השנ	השנ	השנ	н П П	БЯ	השל	השנ	השנ	Ę	הרא	RUN.	ND2	ההא	RUN L	RUN	ប្ដ	ប្ដ	ប៊ួ	ប៊ួ	ថ្ង	ប្ដ	ប៊ី	บี	* 1 1	
		100	ž	ដ	법	цц Ц	H	1 LLF	JUT	191	707	127	Ĕ	121	121	1.01	191	101	1 Bi	121	108	1118	118	1114	1LN	NUT	L'BN	LEGN	- μ	
Ĭ	1	Į.	ដ្ឋ	IJ	1Ja	IJ	127	rcn	ננה	נכה	גכה	נכה	נכה	ניה	נכה	בשה בשה	נשה	נשה	ä	ายม	נשה	בשה בשה	נשה	נשה	בשה	ž	בתנ	۲۲ L	z	
•			<u>ل</u>		u	3	<u>ل</u>	ω.	<u>۔</u> ب	 	 ب		•	•		N		23		N	_		_	_	_		_	_	3	
۲	.		22 1	20	20	Ξ		9	u.	u		-	20	18	15	Ŧ	Ŧ	5	12	I	22	22	=		ø	7	5	I	המולי	
776	,	102	074	560	537	741	227	204	379	356	333	485	560	23	589	175	152	379	922	408	1074	1051	741	227	204	870	333	408	נבולוח	
i	3 1	3	ជ	3	ರ	ម	ដ	ž	u	ġ	3	7	đ	đ	-1	ير	ų	Ŋ		13	а	-		21	-1	ы	U	z	aal	

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

ו שמורות. קובץ זה מיועד למחבר בלבד ורק הוא רשאי להעבירו או להפיצו. © הוצאת אוניברסיטת בר-אילן 2013. כל הזכויות שמורות.

© הוצאת אוניברסיטת בר-אילן 2013. כל הזכויות שמורות. קובץ זה מיועד למחבר בלבד ורק הוא רשאי להעבירו או להפיצו.

כ מי תקפים 킛 3 3 7 --1 -* ---

26

귀			=	1			7				រ័ ៥						ì			J		Ĵ	J,			ទី		ì		Ę	ភ ៩	
-											u									-		-	-1			U				-4	U	
Ę							3										F			t		F			Ę					5		
ផ	7	J	Ĵ,	ų	Ĵ	7	ß	굇	ŗ	킛	à	7	Ĵ	3	J,	3	G	ì	3	۵	킛	۵	7	Ę	ß	킛	Ę	ą	ŗ	ß	7	7
<u> </u>	ы	2		Ř	ษ	υ	L,	IJ	ы		6	ы	u	ы		21	L	U.	21		73	6	U	ы	۰.	<u>.</u>	ы	ž	υ	Le .	1.	ы
השנ	101	Б	Гą	Car Car	CLN		NUS	NUM	ND D	הרא	ŝ	ថ្ម	ថ្ង	ប៊	ប្ដី	ប៊	ប៊ី	ยิ	ធី	ផម	ដី	191 L	ទី	ថ្មីដ	ផ្ត	មីដ	ថី	ផ	202	בחה	נחק	כתה
ž	ğ	1ar	Ä	Pal	ğ	ğ	Å	Ă	121	ы	Å	NI	N I	2	ILX	NTI	NU7	E B X	ប្ដ	ប្ដី	ប៊ី	บี	ប្ដី	ក្ម	Ţ,	ÿ	Ę	Ū,	Į,	บา	ប្ដី	บี
สกร	7	ans Crf	큄		7	370	สกม	7 T T	1 P	1 1	זשה	ដ្	1 T T	701	н Та	ğ	ä	Ä	닄	ä	ä	Ę	ä	Ĩ	ы гы	Цай	сай гай	191	Lan L	השנ	ы Ц	7077
הכו	ប្ដ	103	ប៊ី	IJ	บี่ม	បីដ	ប្ដ	л U	נכה	ដ្ឋប្	ក្ខុ	อู	25	цвг Ц	נשה	בישה בישה	1 a 1	с Ц	236	201	בשה	ר נשר	21 02 11	1 U U	ä	ä	ž	ភ្	Ĕ	CUC	đ	19K
tar	19L	Å	ц Ц	19	Tar	ğ	ਸ਼ੁ	NTN.	ILIN	1CM	Z,	NT	NC I	ដ្ឋ	ប្ដី	ប្មី	ជ	ទ្ធ	ម្មី	ថ្ម	g	ÿ	ប្ដី	ŝ	ថ្ង	Ę	ц Ц	រឹង	ម្ម	ប្ដី	ส เปล	วีว
בחה	אפן	אשת	נשה	đ	קשה	đ	ä	ą	101	721	795 196		ž	ä	Ħ	Ħ	Ĕ	ž	H	Ĕ	Ц	Ear	השנ	Гġ	ц Ц	השנ	1001	השנ	L B L	השנ	CLUN	KRA
הכו	มีมี	រក្ខ	ų	ក្នុង	วะร	ชี	1 C F	ដូ	2 10 11	נשה עשה	ก เม	787	าช่ว	בשה	สรา	בשה	נשה	נשה	ה נשה נ	Ĕ	Ľ	ž	ž	ž	urc C	Ř	1.25	Ъ	Fai	JQ1	ja L	tar
Iar	N,	LLN	11M	N71	TCN.	L DN	C.DN	N N	ប្ដី	127	Ę	Ę	ប្ដ	ដ្ឋ	วัส	Ū,	ដ្ឋ	i U H	ប្ដ	Ę	ប្ដ	ប្ដី	ដ្	ម្ពី	ដ្ឋ	บีบ	ដ្ឋ	วะ	גכה	נכה	มีการ	a U U U
זשה	זשה	196	1001	רשה דשה	קשר	Ę	TIL	Ľ	ž	j.	Ä	JIII	1nc	ות	Lan L	השנ	ы Ца	Ц	השנ	השנ	сø	השנ	Ĕ	100	L DY	E	EUN	ELLN	RUN F	CEN	EUX.	ប៊ី
บบ	บี	בשה עשה	נשה	ายา ม	נשה	ม มี มี	כשה	190	Б Ц	3	בשה נשה	נשה	בשה	100	575	ä	ž	ž	נרנ	ברע	191	ла Га	1ªr	ğ	ä	זמו	ğ	ца га	ğ	ğ	1ar	IUN
LON	LOW	ប្ដ	ũ	Ę	FC1	ថ្ម	ÿ	ğ	ប្ដី	ព្ត	ប្ដី	ប្ដ	ប្ដ	ថ្ម	ម្ព	ម្ព	Ę	ŭ	ថ្មី	EC.	10	สมม	มราย	נכה	л С	บี	â	70 U	10 10 10	780 11	<i>ก</i> ยบ	בשה
ורג	Ĕ	'n	ž	ž	Ĩ	, L	, in	ž	Ĕ	rea Fear	השנ	202	השנ	Lar Lar	нак га	Ĕ	nar.	сøд	n DC	E BU	R C	Mata	REN	2	Ē	R nn	บี	บี	ប្ដី	ប្ដ	ភ្	ប្ដ
1400		1990		10 10 11	ה ש ט	ц Б С	i i		ב 19 11	ž	ž	ц	H	뉨	ษั	ğ	ğ	Та Та	ġ	ġ	Å	101	ğ	191	191	1 ar	211	KII	NC:	NUL	ILIN	Ĕ
ប្ដ	ប្ដ	ប្ដី	ប្ដ	g	ប្ដី	ũ	Ē			đ	100	ទីប		ផ	5	כהה	30	สาว	30	25	נה	נחה	a B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	191	ġ	101	101	זשה	זשה	ក្ត	דשה	דשה
MIL	NIT	TLIN	NG T	NUT	NET	201	Ŭ	1	1	្ពី	ទ្ធ	ប្ដ	ũ	ប្ដ	101	ÿ	ÿ	ប្ដី	ប្ដី	Ę	הכו	ប្ដ	טק	มาวา	נכה	วัน	บา	25	206	191	נשה	สยม
בשה	L BL	10 10 10	ä	ŭ	ä	lä			j	ă	ğ	д	ğ	ושנ	Å	ផ្ទ	ġ	ğ	ផ្ម	ផ្ទ	ğ	Ta:	1 1	YLX Y	, EX	1178	NTI	197	192	ប្ដ	ប្រ	1 H
191	đ	i di li	l G L		191	181	ē	ie L	i i i	มี ม	כרה	ភភភ	17 U	נתה	สกุบ	สกาม	17 17 17	דשה	a 191	Ę	ושה	זשה	ושה	דשה	л Г Э	שה	זשה	Ę	Ę	Ĕ	Ĕ	Ĩ
1	ÿ	ÿ	Ĩ	1	į	1	1		j	ij	, I	U E	ប្អ	Ū,	ប្ដី	ÿ	ប្អ	12	ប្ដ	טה	ប្ដ	10	บี	202	נשה בשה	ส บอน บ	ភ្ល ប្រ ប	נשה	בשה	נשה	נשה	נשה נשה
-			l		1	1	1		1	įğ	Let I	ğ	, Fai	ja,	ğ	ğ	ğ	20	Z F	Ę	75	L BN	E BN	ų	ŭ	ÿ	Ŭ	ប៊ី	ប្ដ	ប្រ	ğ	107
-	-	• ~	4 ~	4 ~	a -	4 ~	4 •			a ~	1 at	•	•	•	•	•	•		U 1	۰.		5	5	5	ŝ	ú					-	*
		5 3			, i		в. 	н с м с	а т а ч) N	22 10	22 10	-	11 7	11 7	78	ا ح	22 10	20 5	20 5		2	9 2	u u	ы С	N S	0	-			11 7	7
		3 2	;	107		; : 	3			3 E	-		75		13	70		74	- 8	37	: 1	27	\$	79	56	22			23			
10	i e	, 5	3	; ;	3 (:: 	2	d	۲ ا ۲	13	5 . 	, C	5 6	6	đ		ō	6	;	1 2) c	۲ U	13	13	1		1 .	13	 	1 3	i J	. 0

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

udaa

© Copyright Bar-llan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

1460

Rank Until Number of Rank of Until Number of From From of the Cycles the Head Cycles Head -5 Total

Table 12: Number of 19-Year Cycles Included in Each Head

Rank of the Years in the 19-Year Cycle	Rank Number of the Heads of the Cycle	Number of Years
1	From 58 until 61 and from 1 till 3 included	3280
2	From 21 until 26 included	3281
4	From 51 until 58 included	3281
5	From 15 until 21 included	3281
7	From 46 until 51 included	3281
9	From 18 until 23 included	3281
10	From 41 until 46 included	3281
12	From 12 until 18 included	3281
13	From 36 until 41 included	3281
15	From 7 until 12 included	3281
16	From 31 until 36 included	3280
18	From 3 until 7 included	3280
	Total	39369

Table 13: Number of Common Years כחג in the Period of the Jewish Calendar

Table 14: Number of Common Years בשה in the Period of the Jewish Calendar

Rank of the Years in the	Rank Number of the	Number of Years
19-Year Cycle	Heads of the Cycle	
-		
1	From 4 until 13 included	6557
2	From 71 until 37 included	7087
4	From 59 until 61 and from	6557
	1 until 8 included	0557
	i unui o included	
5	From 21 until 31 included	7087
7	From 52 until 61 and from	6557
/	1 sentil 4 in she de d	0557
	1 until 4 included	
9	From 24 until 34 included	6557
10	E 47 (150 1 1 1	2002
10	From 47 until 59 included	7087
12	From 19 until 29 included	6557
12		2002
13	From 42 until 52 included	7087
15	From 13 until 24 included	6557
		2 000
16	From 37 until 47 included	7088
18	From 8 until 19 included	6557
	Total	81335

© Copyright Bar-llan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

The number of each of the other twelve types of calendar years in the Jewish calendar period can be calculated in the same manner.

	Common Years	5	Leap Years							
Keviyah	Number of Years	Percentage or Probability	Keviyah	Number of Years	Percentage or Probability					
בחג	39369	5.7100	בחה	40000	5.8015					
בשה	81335	11.7967	בשז	32576	4.7248					
גכה	43081	6.2484	גכז	36288	5.2632					
הכז	124416	18.0451	החא	26677	3.8692					
השא	22839	3.3125	השג	45899	6.6571					
זחא	29853	4.3298	זחג	40000	5.8015					
זשג	94563	13.7153	זשח	32576	4.7248					
Total	435456			254016						

Table 15: Distribution of the 689,472 Years of the Jewish Period as aFunction of Their Keviyah

Table 16: Distribution of the 689,472 Years of the Jewish Period as aFunction of Their Length

Year's Length	Number of Years	Percentage or Probability
353	69222	10.0399
354	167497	24.2935
355	198737	28.8245
383	106677	15.4723
384	36288	5.2632
385	111051	16.1067
	689472	100
Length of the period	251,827,457 days	

0

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

© Copyright Bar-Ilan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

Table 17: Distribution of the 689,472 Years of the Jewish Period as aFunction of the Weekday of the First Day of Rosh ha-Shanah

Rosh ha-Shanah	Number of Years	Percentage or Probability	Preceding Pesah
Thursday	219831 ²⁸	31.8840	Tuesday
Saturday	196992 ²⁹	28.5714	Thursday
Monday	193280^{30}	28.0330	Saturday
Tuesday	79369 ³¹	11.5116	Sunday

Table 18: Distribution of the 689,472 Years of the Jewish Period as aFunction of the Weekday of the First Day of PesahPreceding Rosh ha-Shanah

Pesah	Number of Years	Percentage or Probability	Preceding Purim	Tisha be-Av
Tuesday	219831	31.8840	Sunday	Tuesday
Thursday	196992	28.5714	Tuesday	Thursday
Saturday	193280	28.0330	Thursday	Saturday
Sunday	79369	11.5116	Friday	Sunday

V. CALCULATION OF THE FIRST OCCURRENCE OF ANY GIVEN $MOLAD^{32}$

Calculation of the first occurrence of the *molad* (2) - 5 - 205 = 31525

This *molad* differs from *Beharad* by 1 hal. The length of a month is 765,433 hal and $[765,433]_{181440} = 39673$.

- $28 \quad \ \ 39369 + 94563 + 45899 + 40000 = 219831$
- $29 \quad 81335 + 43081 + 40000 + 32576 = 196992$
- $30 \quad 124416 + 32576 + 36288 = 193280$
- $31 \quad 22839 + 29853 + 26677 = 79369$
- 32 This solution was proposed in my book *Hilkhot Kiddush ha-Hodesh al-pi ha-Rambam*, Jerusalem, 1996. At the same time, the late Hanokh Merzbach, nephew of R'Yona Merzbach, proposed a similar solution in his paper entitled איז די נוסדאות *Sinai*, Vol. 117, pp. 284-286. The method is slightly different; it makes use of a few steps and seems longer. It has, however, the advantage of manipulating smaller numbers and therefore it requires a lower-performing calculator (fewer working digits). However, the solution of Hanokh Merzbach was presented as a formula without explaining how the different coefficients were found.

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

Copyright Bar-Ilan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

If we consider that this *molad* is the *molad* of the month X+1 and is preceded by X months, we can then write: $[X \times 39673]_{181440} = 1$.

We demonstrate³³ that the number satisfying this relation is the number X = 74377. One ascertains that 74377 is a prime number.

Thus after 74377 months we obtain the molad Beharad increased by 1 hal.

Calculation of the first occurrence of the molad Beharad increased by T hal

We know that after 74377 months the *molad* is the *molad Beharad* + 1, thus after A x 74377 or already after $[A \times 74377]_{181440}$ months the *molad* will then be *Beharad* + A.

Thus $[A \times 74,377 \times 39,673]_{181440} = A$

Now we know that after a month the *molad* increases by 39673 hal, and after A months, the *molad* increases by $T = A \times 39673$ hal.

Thus $A = [(A \times 39,673) \times 74,377]_{181440}$

And $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{T} \times 74,377]_{181440}$ where T is the increase of the *molad* after A months. If we choose any *molad*, the increase of the *molad* is T = molad - 31,524. It happens after A months. A is given by $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{T} \times 74,377] + \mathbf{k} \times 181440$.

Consequences

When the rank of a month increases by 1, then the *molad* increases by 39673. When the rank of a month increases by K, then the *molad* increases by K x 39673 and $K = [K \times 39673 \times 74377]_{181440}$ where K is an integer varying from 1 until 181440.

When K = 181440 then all the *moladot* have worked a first time.

When the *molad* increases by 1, then the rank of the month increases by 74377.

When the *molad* increases by T, then the rank of the month increases with $A = [T \times 74377]_{181440}$.

Calculation of the other 46 occurrences of any molad

We have seen in section II (examples 1 to 4) how we can build the table of the 46 following occurrences of a given *molad* once we know the first occurrence of this *molad*.³⁴

33 See mathematical appendix.

34 See Tables 1 and 2.

Example 1

When does the *molad* $(1) - 17 - 107 = 18467^{35}$ occur for the first time? When will this *molad* be the *molad* of Nissan as Maimonides considered it?

$$T = 18,467 - 31,524 + 181,440 = 168,383$$

A = $[168,383 \times 74377]_{181440} = 107,831 = 458 \times 235 + 201$ months. This *molad* occurs after 458 cycles and 201 months, and it is the *molad* of Shevat 8719.

181440 months later the same *molad* recurs: A= $107,831 + 181,440 = 289,271 = 1230 \times 235 + 221$. This is the *molad* of Elul 23388.

181440 months later the same *molad* recurs: A = $289,271 + 181,440 = 470,711 = 2003 \times 235 + 6$. This is the *molad* of Nissan 38058. This is the month of Nissan to which Maimonides referred.

Example 2

When does the *molad* (1) – 11 – 543 or 12423 occur for the first time? T = 12423 - 31524 = -19101 = 162339A = [T x 74377]₁₈₁₄₄₀ = 123; thus after 123 months

Example 3

When does the *molad* (4) - 17 - 928 = 97048 occur for the first time? T = 97048 - 31524 = 65524 A = [T x 77377]₁₈₁₄₄₀ = 148; thus after 148 months.

Example 4

32

Following is a demonstration of the method proposed by Hanokh Merzbach.³⁶

a) When does the *molad* (7) - 0 - 0 occur? This *molad* is 155520 hal. T = 155520 - 31524 = 123996. A= [123996 x 74377]₁₈₁₄₄₀ = 36732.

This molad occurs after 36732 months.

b) How many months afterwards does the *molad* (1) - 0 - 0 occur? This *molad* is 181440 hal.

T = 181440 - 31524 = 149916.

 $A = [149916 \text{ x } 74377]_{181440} = 88572 = 36732 + 51840.$

35 See Hilkhot Kiddush ha-Hodesh VI: 7.

36 Sinai, Vol 117, pp. 284-286.

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

Copyright Bar-llan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

This *molad* occurs 88572 months after *Beharad*, and 51840 months after the *molad* (7) - 0 - 0.

c) How many months afterwards does the *molad* (1) - 1 - 0 occur? This *molad* is 182520 hal.

T = 182520 - 31524 = 150996.

 $A = [150996 \text{ x } 74377]_{181440} = 37812 = [88572 + 130680]_{181440}$

This *molad* occurs 37812 months after *Beharad* and 130680 months after the *molad* (1) - 0 - 0.

d) How many months afterwards does the *molad* (1) - 1 - 1 occur? According to the theory developed above, we know that this *molad* occurs 74377 months after the *molad* (1) - 1 - 0.

e) After how many months does the *molad* (D) - H - hal occur?

First solution

Mol = 25920 x (D - 1) + 1080 x H + hal.T = Mol - 31524. A = [T x 74377]₁₈₁₄₄₀.

Second solution (Hanokh Merzbach)

 $A = [36732 + 51840 \text{ x } \text{D} + 130680 \text{ x } \text{H} + 74377 \text{ x } \text{hal}]_{181440}$

VI. CALCULATION OF THE CASES WHEN ANY GIVEN *MOLAD* IS THE *MOLAD* OF A YEAR

We know that any *molad* recurs 47 times during the period of the Jewish calendar and among these 47 times, it is four times the *molad* of a year, except when the right digit of this *molad* is 3 or 8; then the *molad* recurs also 47 times during the period of the Jewish calendar, but it is only the *molad* of a year for three times.

The problem is to see if it is possible to find a direct solution to this problem without the construction of the complete table of the 47 occurrences of the given *molad*. In order to solve this problem, we refer to Table 5 showing the *molad* of the years of the first 19-year cycle. We have already noted that when we progress from a year of rank *r* of the first cycle to the year of the same rank of the second cycle, the *molad* of this year is increased by 69715 and the right digit of the *molad* increases by 5. We also know that the right digit depends on the year's rank in the cycle.

1. Given any year of rank *r* of the cycle 1, we calculate the number *y* of cycles after which the *molad* of the year of the same rank *r* increased with $5.^{37}$

When we progress from a year of rank *r* in cycle 1 to cycle 2, or from any cycle to the next, the *molad* increases by 69715.

When we progress from cycle 1 to cycle 1 + y, the *molad* increases by 69715 x y.

We must thus find an integer y which satisfies the equation: $[y \ge 69715]_{181440} = 5$.

Or after simplification: $[y \ge 13943]_{36288} = 1$.

We demonstrate³⁸ that the number satisfying this relation is the number y = 6215. Thus after 6215 cycles, the *molad* of the year of rank *r* increased by 5.

Verification

The molad of the year of rank r = 1 of the cycle 1 is (2) - 5 - 204 = 31524.

The *molad* of the year of rank r = 1 of the cycle 6216 is 31529. Indeed:

 $Mol = 31524 + [6215 \times 69715]_{181440} = 31529.$

We can also write: $Mol = 31524 + [6215 \times 235 \times 39673]_{181440} = 31529$.

2. Given any year of rank *r* of cycle 1, calculate the number *y* of cycles, after which the *molad* of the year of the same rank *r* increased with U hal.³⁹

After 6215 cycles, the *molad* of the year of rank r increased by 5.

After B x 6215 cycles, the *molad* of the year of rank r increased by 5 x B.

Thus $[B \times 6215 \times 69715]_{181440} = 5 \times B.$

But after 1 cycle, the *molad* of the year of rank r increases by 69715 and after B cycles, the *molad* of the year of rank r increases by U = B x 69715. Thus:

 $5 \ge B = [(B \ge 69715) \ge 6215]_{181440} = [U \ge 6215]_{181440}$. U is the increase of the *molad* of the year of rank *r*, i.e. the difference between the given *molad* and the *molad* of the year of rank *r* in cycle 1. The formula allows one to calculate B, the number of cycles after which the *molad* increases by U.

The formula is thus 5 x B = $[U \times 6215]_{181440}$

One can also write $\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{1243}]_{36288}$

- 37 The problem has a similitude with the problem considered at the beginning of section V: Calculation of the First Occurrence of Any Given *Molad*. Note that an increase of the *molad* by 5 is the smallest possible increase.
- 38 See mathematical appendix.
- 39 B is of course a multiple of 5.

Example

Let us consider the *molad* (2) - 5 - 205 = 31525.

We examined this *molad* at the beginning of this paper and established Table 2, which shows all 47 occurrences of this *molad* during the period of the Jewish calendar.

We observed that this molad is the molad of a year after a certain number of months:

74377 + 30 x 181440 = 5,517,577 months = 23479 cycles + 1 year 74377 + 43 x 181440 = 7,876,297 months = 33516 cycles + 3 years 74377 + 4 x 181440 = 800,137 months = 3404 cycles + 16 years 74377 + 17 x 181440 = 3,158,857 months = 13441 cycles + 18 years

We refer to Table 3 of the first cycle.

The years of reference are those years which have a right digit of 5 or 0.

Years of Reference

Year of rank 2: Mol= 144720. U = $31525 - 144720 = -113195 = 31957^{40}$ Year of rank 4: Mol = 47905. U = 31525 - 47905 = -16380 = 19908Year of rank 17: Mol = 45185. U = 31525 - 45185 = -13660 = 22628Year of rank 19: Mol = 129810. U = 31525 - 129810 = -98285 = 10579We can now generate the researched *moladot*:

Year of Rank 2 B= $[1243 \times 31957]_{36288} = 23479$. It is the *molad* Tishri of the 2nd year of the cycle 23480.

Year of Rank 4 B= $[1243 \times 19908]_{36288} = 33516$. It is the *molad* Tishri of the 4th year of the cycle 33517.

Year of Rank 17 B= $[1243 \times 22628]_{36288} = 3404$. It is the *molad* Tishri of the 17th year of the cycle 3405.

40 After addition of a multiple of 36288.

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

הוצאת אוניברסיטת בר-אילן 2013. כל הזכויות שמורות. קובץ זה מיועד למחבר בלבד ורק הוא רשאי להעבירו או להפיצו

Year of Rank 19

B= $[1243 \times 10579]_{36288} = 13441$. It is the *molad* of Tishri of the 19th year of the cycle 13442.

VII. MATHEMATICAL APPENDIX

The Fundamental Formula of the Jewish Calendar

The number of months preceding the *molad* of the Jewish year N + 1 is given by $F_t = INT [(235N + 1) / 19]^{41}$

The following table illustrates the practical demonstration of this formula:

N	F _t	N	F _t	Ν	F _t	Ν	F _t
1	12	6	74	11	136	16	197
2	24	7	86	12	148	17	210
3	37	8	99	13	160	18	222
4	49	9	111	14	173	19	235
5	61	10	123	15	185	20	247

The numbers of the columns F_t are indeed the number of months preceding the beginning of the different years of the 19-year cycle.

This formula is general. It allows one to calculate the *molad* of any year.

Calculation of the First Occurrence of the *Molad* (2) - 5 - 205 = 31525

This *molad* differs from *Beharad* by 1 hal.

The length of a month is 765,433 hal and $[765,433]_{181440} = 39673$. If we consider that this *molad* is the *molad* of the month X+1 and is preceded by X months.

1) We can then write: $[X \times 39673]_{181440} = 1$

Solution of the equation: $[X \times 39673]_{181440} = 1$

We note that:

36

2) $181440 = (2)^6 \ge (3)^4 \ge 5 \ge 7 = (72)^2 \ge 5 \ge 7$

- 3) $[39673]_9 = [39673]_{24} = [39673]_{72} = 1$
- 4) $[2 \times 39673]_5 = [2 \times 39673]_7 = 1$
- 5) $[a \times b]_n = [[a]_n \times [b]_n]_n$

41 This formula was given for the first time in Al ha-Sheminit, Y. Loewinger, Tel Aviv, 1986.

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

Copyright Bar-Ilan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

6) $[a+b]_n = [[a]_n + [b]_n]_n$ 7) Equation (1) can be written in the following way: $[X \times 39673 - 1]_{181440} = 0$ It involves, because of equation (2), the following equations: 8) $[X \times 39673 - 1]_5 = 0$ 9) $[X \times 39673 - 1]_{5 \times 7} = 0$ 10) [X x 39673 - 1]_{5 x 7 x 72} = 0 11) $[X \times 39673 - 1]_{5 \times 7 \times 72 \times 72} = 0$ Equation (8) gives: $[2 \times X \times 39673 - 2]_5 = 0$ Because of (4) and (5): 12) $[X-2]_5 = 0$ and $X = 2 + k_1 \times 5$ Equation (9) gives: $[X \times 39673 - 1]_{35} = 0$ $\left[(2 + k_1 x 5) x 39673 - 1 \right]_{35} = 0$ 13) $[2 \times 39673 - 1 + 5 \times k_1 \times 39673]_{35} = 0$ 2 x 39673 – 1 = 15369 x 5 = 2267 x 5 x 7 Thus equation (13) becomes: $[5 \times k_1 \times 39673]_{35} = 0$ $[k_1 \times 39673]_7 = 0$ But $[39673]_7 = 4$ and therefore $[k_1]_7 = 0$ and $k_1 = 7xk_2$ 14) Therefore $X = 2 + 5 \times 7 \times k_2$ Equation (10) gives: $[X \times 39673 - 1]_{5 \times 7 \times 72} = 0$ Introducing (14) we get: $[39673 \text{ x} (2 + 5 \text{ x} 7 \text{ x} \text{ k}_2) - 1]_{5 \text{ x} 7 \text{ x} 72} = 0$ or 15) [79346 + 39673 x 5 x 7 x $k_2 - 1]_{5 x 7 x 72} = 0$ 79345 = 2267 x 5 x 7 and therefore (15) becomes $[2267 + 39673 \text{ x } \text{k}_2]_{72} = 0$. Now we note that $[2267]_{72} = 35$ Thus $[39673 \text{ x } \text{k}_2]_{72} = -35 = 37$. Now we note that $[39673]_{72} = 1$ Thus $[k_2]_{72} = 37$ and therefore $k_2 = 37 + 72 \text{ x } k_3$ 16) Equation (15) gives $X = 2 + 5 \times 7 \times (37 + 72 \times k_3)$ $X = 1297 + 5 x 7 x 72 x k_3$ Equation (11) gives: $\left[X \times 39673 - 1\right]_{5 \times 7 \times 72 \times 72} = 0$

Introducing (16) we get: $[39673 \times (1297 + 5 \times 7 \times 72 \times k_3) - 1]_{5 \times 7 \times 72 \times 72} = 0$ or 17) $[51,455,880 + 5 \times 7 \times 72 \times 72 \times k_3]_{5 \times 7 \times 72 \times 72} = 0$

B.D.D. 28, December 2013

Copyright Bar-llan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

Now 51,455,880 = 20419 x 5 x 7 x 72 and therefore (17) becomes: $[20419 + k_3]_{72} = 0$ Therefore $k_3 = [-20419]_{72} x k_4 = 29 + 72 x k_4$ Equation (16) becomes $X = 1297 + 5 x 7 x 72 (29 + 72 x k_4)$ $X = 1297 + 73080 + 181440 k_4$

If we replace k_4 by k then the solution to our problem is: X = 74377 + k x 181440

The *molad* (2) - 5 - 205 occurs for the first time after 74377 months and then it recurs 46 other times after the 46 multiples of 181440 months.

Thus any molad is increased by 1 after 74377 months.

Alternative Solution

The problem can be posed in the form of a linear Diophantine equation. The equation (1) is equivalent to the linear Diophantine equation: $X \times 39673 - t \times 181440 = 1$

We develop Euclid's algorithm:

181440	=	4	х	39673	+	22748
39673	=	1	х	22748	+	16925
22748	=	1	х	16925	+	5823
16925	=	2	х	5823	+	5279
5823	=	1	х	5279	+	544
5279	=	9	х	544	+	383
544	=	1	х	383	+	161
383	=	2	х	161	+	61
161	=	2	х	61	+	39
61	=	1	х	39	+	22
39	=	1	х	22	+	17
22	=	1	х	17	+	5
17	=	3	х	5	+	2
5	=	2	х	2	+	1
2	=	2	х	1	+	0

Then we start with the last equation and we inductively back-substitute, simplifying at each step:

 $1 = 5 - 2 \ge 2 = 5 - 2 (17 - 3 \ge 5) = -2 \ge 17 + 7 \ge (22 - 17)$ $= 7 \times 22 - 9 \times (39 - 22) = -9 \times 39 + 16 \times (61 - 39) = 16 \times 61 - 25 \times (161 - 61 \times 2)$ $= -25 \times 161 + 66 \times (383 - 161 \times 2) = 66 \times 383 - 157 \times (544 - 383)$ $= -157 \times 544 + 223 \times (5279 - 544 \times 9) = 223 \times 5279 - 2164 \times (5823 - 55279)$ = -2164 x 5823 + 2387 x (16925 - 5823 x 2) = -6938 x 22748 + 9325 x (39673 -22748) = 9325 x 39673 - 16263 x (181440 - 4 x 39673) = 74377 x 39673 - 16263 x 181440. Thus: 74377 x 39673 - 16263 x 181440 = 1. The general solution of the linear Diophantine equation is given by: $X = 74377 + k \ge 181440$ $t = 16263 + k \ge 39673$ Solution of the Equation: $[y \ge 13943]_{36788} = 1$ y must be an integer. 18) We note that $36288 = 6 \times 7 \times 8 \times 9 \times 12$ 19) $[y \ge 13943]_{36288} = 1$ Equation (19) can be written in the following way: 20) $[y \ge 13943 - 1]_{36288} = 0$ Because of equation (18) it involves the following equations: 21) $[y \times 13943 - 1]_7 = 0$ 22) $[y \ge 13943 - 1]_{6 \ge 7} = 0$ 23) $[y \ge 13943 - 1]_{6 \ge 7 \ge 8} = 0$ 24) $[y \ge 13943 - 1]_{6 \ge 7 \ge 8 \ge 9} = 0$ 25) $[y \ge 13943 - 1]_{6 \ge 7 \ge 8 \ge 9 \ge 12} = 0$ Equation (21) gives: 26) $[6y \times 13943 - 6]_7 = 0$ 27) But $[6 \times 13943]_7 = 1$ therefore (26) becomes $[y-6]_7 = 0$ 28) From (27) we deduce: $y = 6 + k_1 x 7$ When we introduce (28), equation (22) now gives: $[(6 + \mathbf{k}_1 \times 7) \times 13943 - 1]_{6 \times 7} = 0$ $[83658 + 7k_1 \times 13943 - 1]_{6 \times 7} = 0$ We note that $83657 = 7 \times 11951$ Hence: $[11951 + k \times 13943]_6 = 0$ We note that $[11951]_6 = 5$ and $[13943]_6 = 5$ Therefore $[5 + k_1 \times 5]_6 = 0$

 $[5 - k_1]_6 = 0$ and $[k_1]_6 = 5$ 29) Finally $k_1 = 5 + 6 k_2$ Introducing (29) into equation (28) we obtain: 30) $y = 6 + 7 \text{ x} (5 + 6 \text{k}_2) \text{ or } y = 41 + 42 \text{ k}_2$ Equation (23) gives: $[y \ge 13943 - 1]_{6 \ge 7 \ge 8} = 0$. We introduce (30): $\left[(41 + 42k_2) \times 13943 - 1 \right]_{6 \times 7 \times 8} = 0$ $[571663 + 42k_2 \times 13943 - 1]_{6 \times 7 \times 8} = 0$ Now $571662 = 42 \times 13611$, therefore $[13611 + k_2 \times 13943]_8 = 0$ Now $[13611]_8 = 3$ and $[13943]_8 = 7$ $[3 + k_2 \times 7]_8 = 0$ or $[3 - k_2]_8 = 0$ and finally $k_2 = 3 + 8 \times k_3$ Equation (30) becomes $y = 41 + 42 \text{ x} (3 + 8 \text{ x} \text{ k}_3)$; or 31) $y = 167 + 336k_2$ Equation (24) gives: $[y \ge 13943 - 1]_{6 \ge 7 \ge 8 \ge 9} = 0$. We introduce (31): 32) $[(167 + 336 \text{ x } \text{k}_3) \text{ x } 13943 - 1]_{6x7x8x9} = 0$ We note that $(167 \times 13943 - 1) = 6 \times 7 \times 8 \times 6930 = 336 \times 6930$, therefore (32) becomes: $[6930 + k_3 \times 13943]_0 = 0$ $[k_3 \times 13943]_9 = 0$ because $[6930]_9 = 0$. Now $[13943]_9 = 2$, thus 33) $[2k_3]_0 = 0$ and therefore $2k_3 = 0 + 9k_4 = 9k_4$ Introducing (33) into (31) we get: 34) $y = 167 + 1512k_4$ Equation (25) gives: $[y \ge 13943 - 1] = 0$. Introducing (34) we get 35) $[(167 + 1512k_4) \times 13943 - 1]_{6 \times 7 \times 8 \times 9 \times 12} = 0$ We note that $(167 \times 13943 - 1) = 7 \times 8 \times 9 \times 4620$ and $1512 = 7 \times 8 \times 9 \times 3$; therefore (35) becomes 36) $[4620 + 41829k_4]_{6 \times 12} = 0$ But $[4620]_{6 \times 12} = 12$ and $[41829]_{6 \times 12} = 69$, therefore (36) becomes: $[12 + 69k_4]_{6 \times 12} = 0$ or: $[12 - 3k_4]_{6x12} = 0$ thus 37) $3k_4 = 12 + 72k_5$.

Copyright Bar-Ilan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only. 0

Introducing (37) into (34) we obtain: $y = 167 + 504 \text{ x} (12 + 72 \text{ k}_5) = 167 + 6048 + 36288 \text{k}_4$ Hence the solution:

 $y = 6215 + k \ge 36288$

Alternative Solution

The problem can be posed in the form of a linear Diophantine equation. The equation (1) is equivalent to the linear Diophantine equation: $y \ge 13943 - t \ge 36288 = 1$.

We develop Euclid's algorithm:

 $36288 = 2 \ge 13943 + 8402$ $13943 = 1 \ge 8402 + 5541$ $8402 = 1 \ge 5541 + 2861$ $5541 = 1 \ge 2861 + 2680$ $2861 = 1 \ge 2680 + 181$ $2680 = 14 \ge 181 + 146$ 181 = 1 x 146 +35146 $= 4 \times 35$ +6 $= 5 \ge 6$ 35 +5 $= 1 \times 5$ + 16 5 $= 5 \times 1$ +0

Then we start with the last equation and we inductively back-substitute, simplifying at each step:

 $1 = 6 - 5 = 6 - (35 - 6 \times 5) = -35 + 6 \times (146 - 35 \times 4) = 6 \times 146 - 25 \times (181 - 146)$ = -25 x 181 + 31 x (2680 - 181 x 14) = 31 x 2680 - 459 x (2861 - 2680) = -459 x 2861 + 490 x (5541 - 2861) = 490 x 5541 - 949 x (8402 - 5541) = -949 x 8402 + 1439 x (13943 - 8402) = 1439 x 13943 - 2388 x (36288 - 2 x 13943) = 6215 \times 13943 - 2388 \times 36288. Thus: 6215 x 13943 - 2388 x 36288 = 1

The general solution of the linear Diophantine equation is given by:

 $y = 6215 + k \ge 36288$ t = 2388 + k \x 13943

VIII. HISTORICAL APPENDIX

I had the occasion⁴² to consult the manuscript of the Zentralbibliothek Zürich: Ms Heid. 180. This manuscript corresponds to the first part of Raphael Hanover's *Luhot ha-Ibbur*. It is identical to the printed text, with exactly the same title page and remarks as printed on the back of the title page.

However, tables 1 to 7, essential for the understanding of the book, are missing.

At the end of the book, after its formal end, we find a fifth example and finally an additional note which seems sufficiently important to be published here. From these additions we can understand that this manuscript was written by a pupil from a first manuscript (probably the autograph manuscript) in 1752.⁴³ We note that in the title page of the present manuscript the author is qualified as:⁴⁴

המהנדס התוכן ופילוסוף הגדול, רבן של כל בני הגולה, החבר רבי רפאל הלוי, נרו יאיר ויזרח, מהאנובר.

On page 42 of the manuscript, we find the following text, which is essential for the history of the Jewish calendar and directly related to the present paper:

גם זה למדתי מפי גדול, הלה הוא התוכן מ״ה ר׳ רפאל נר״ו.

אם יאמר לך אדם, המולד היה או יהיה באותו יום ובאותה שעה ובאותם רגעים.

תגרע מהמולד הניתן לך 204-2-2 ומהמספר הנשאר תעשה שעות והשעות לחלקי תתר״ף ואז כשיהיה לך יחד מכל הימים והשעות חלקי תתר״ף תכפול אותן על ידי 74377 והיוצא תחלוק ע״י 181440. ואחר כל החילוק האחרון שאז יתחלק פחות מן 181440 אותו המספר הוא מספר של החודש שאתה עומד בו,⁴⁵ דהיינו הניתן לך בראשונה. ותעשה בזה האופן. תחלוק אותן המותרות שנשאר בידך על ידי 235 שהוא חודשי המחזור קטן עם ז׳ עיבורים שעולין רל״ה חדשים והיוצאין יהיו מחזורים והנשארין פחות מן רל״ה יהיו חדשים ותחלוק אותן חדשים ע״י 12 והיוצאין יהיו שנים ותוסיף על השנים האלו העיבורין שעברו והנשארים יהיו חדשים שעברו. ואותו מולד הניתן לך הוא המולד מחדש שאתה עומד בו. ועתה, אתה המעיין ראה והבן את אשר לפניך המשל הזה.

למשל ניתן לך המולד היה או יהיה 380-19-7

(7 - 19 - 204) - (2 - 5 - 204) = (5 - 13 - 176)

 $^{46}5 - 13 - 176 = 143816$

© Copyright Bar-llan University Press 2013. All rights reserved. This PDF is for the author's use and distribution only.

143816 x 74377 = 10,696,602,632

43 See post face on p. 39 of the manuscript.

⁴² As part of the preparation of a paper about Raphael Hanover's book: לוחות העיבור.

⁴⁴ The text in bold does not appear in the printed edition; it must be an enthusiastic addition of the pupil.

⁴⁵ The text is not very clear: we find the number of months preceding the given molad.

⁴⁶ There is a mistake in this subtraction. We should find 5 - 14 - 176 = 144,896.

⁴⁷.120312 ויוצא שארית 181440 תחלוק ע"י 181440 ויוצא שארית 227.

וישארו לך רכ״ז חדשים. תחלוק ע״י י״ב חדשים ותמצא י״ח שנים י״א חדשים. תוסיף ששה חדשים בעבור ששה עיבורים שהן בי״ח שנים, ישארו לך ה׳ חדשים שעברו לשנת י״ט למחזור תקי״ב ונמצא זה המולד שאמרנו לעיל הניתן לך, הוא יהיה המולד של אדר ראשון משנת י״ט למחזור תקי״ב לבריאת העולם ודי לחכימא.

It appears that the student was less skilful than the teacher; he indeed made two mistakes so that the example is not very useful.

The correct calculation is the following:

Molad = (7) - 19 - 380 = 176420

T = 176420 - 31524 = 144896

 $A = [144896 \text{ x } 74377]_{181440} = 119552 = 508 \text{ x } 235 + 172$

The given molad is the molad of Elul 9666, the 14th year of the cycle 509.

Verification

The number of months preceding the *molad* of 9667 is INT $[(9666 \times 235 + 1)/19] = 119553$. The *molad* of Tishri 9667 is $[31524 + 119553 \times 39673]_{181440} = 34653$.

The *molad* of Elul 9666 is then 34653 – 39673 = 176420.

However this short passage is an invaluable historic testimony proving that Hanover knew the number 74377 and had discovered the way of calculating the first occurrence of any *molad*. The method described in this paper⁴⁸ and which I believe to be original was already discovered 250 years ago by Raphael of Hanover. Therefore I propose to call this method of calculating the first occurrence of any given *molad* the method of Hanover, and to name the number 74377 "**Raphael Hanover's number**."

Additional Remarks

1. Since the completion of this article I edited Hanover's manuscripts, including ספר תכונת השמים הארוך, and I found at the end of the book that Hanover improved the procedure. Instead of our modern formula, Hanover constructed convenient tables.

2. Already in the first half of the fourteenth century, R' Isaac Israeli proposed a

⁴⁷ The remainder of the division is in fact 170,312.

⁴⁸ See also my book: *Hilkhot Kiddush ha-Hodesh al-pi ha-Rambam*, J. J. Ajdler, Sifriati 1966, pp. 644-648.

solution to this problem⁴⁹ but it was less elegant and more difficult. The solution was based on two tables: the first table, 4π , gives the *molad* of the first 1080 months of the Jewish era. The first *molad* of the table is 2 - 5 - 204 and the last *molad* is 3 - 6 - 204.

Indeed $[1080 \times (1 - 12 - 793)]_{181440} = 27000 = 25920 + 1080 = 1d + 1h.$

Thus after 1080 months the molad is 1d 1h up.

The second table, ' $\forall m r$, gives the *molad* at the beginning of the first 168 cycles of 1080 months. After each cycle the *molad* is 1d 1h up. After 168 cycles the final *molad* is again the initial *molad*. Indeed 168 x (1d 1h) = 175d = M7.

3. Hanover's discovery of the integer 74377 was therefore not such an achievement. Hanover had the merit to search for which number of months the *molad* 2-5-204 is 1 *helek* up and becomes 2-5-205. He probably used the method of Israeli.

In x we must find the *molad* ending with 205 *halakim*. This *molad* occurs after 937 months; it is 1 - 9 - 205. Indeed $[31524 + 937 \times 39673]_{181440} = 9925 = 1 - 9 - 205$.

We must add 20h in order to find the *molad* 2-5-205.

In די we see that after 68 cycles of 1080 months the initial *molad* is 20h up. Indeed, $[68 \times (1d + 1h)]_{7d} = 20h$. Thus after $68 \times 1080 + 937 = 74377$ months the initial *molad* 2 - 5 - 204 became 2 - 5 - 205.

It appears that the finding of Raphael's Hanover's number using Israeli's algorithm did not present a major difficulty. Hanover's main originality was to search after how many months the *molad* is 1 *helek* up, and then propose a simple and elegant solution by constructing a table that gives the number of months necessary to obtain an increase of the *molad* by different multiples of 1 *helek*.

הוצאת אוניברסיטת בר-אילן 2013. כל הזכויות שמורות. קובץ זה מיועד למחבר בלבד ורק הוא רשאי להעבירו או להפיצו

0

49 See Yessod Olam, Ma'amar V, chap. 4 and at the end of the book י, לוח ג׳ ולוח ר׳ .